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1.0 BACKGROUND

In late August of 2005, a group of Pacific Island marine resource managers, government agency representatives, non-governmental conservation organization representatives, and members of academia gathered on the Island of Guam to discuss the strengths, challenges, and needs of marine protected area (MPA) management in the Pacific Islands. The 52 participants of the “Pacific Islands MPA Community Workshop” came from across the Pacific Islands region, including the:
1) Federated States of Micronesia (FSM), including the Island States of Chuuk, Kosrae, Pohnpei, and Yap;
2) Fiji Islands;
3) Republic of the Marshall Islands (RMI);
4) Republic of Palau; and
5) United States Pacific Islands of American Samoa, Guam, the State of Hawaii, and the Commonwealth of the Northern Marianas Islands (CNMI).

The workshop was jointly hosted and organized by the University of Guam Marine Laboratory and the US National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration (NOAA), and facilitated by Mapping Change, LLC. The workshop was made possible through funding provided by the Coral Reef Conservation Program and Pacific Services Center of NOAA’s National Ocean Service, with additional participant travel support through the Marine Resources Pacific Consortium (MAREPAC).

Through NOAA’s National Ocean Service, Meghan Gombos of the Pacific Services Center formed and led a workshop steering committee of representatives from the Community Conservation Network, the Nature Conservancy, and the University of Guam and several NOAA National Ocean Service offices. The principle aim of the workshop steering committee was to investigate and gauge the necessity for and feasibility of the potential development of a ‘Pacific Islands MPA Community’. The workshop steering committee met regularly between February and July of 2005 through a series of meetings and teleconferences.

1.1 Workshop Design

Through guidance by the workshop steering committee, a regional information gathering exercise using personal interviews was designed and conducted between March and May 2005. A total of 112 individuals from the Pacific Islands were interviewed during this time, including MPA and marine resource managers, local, state, and national government agency officials, and members of non-governmental conservation organizations and academia. The results of these 112 interviews were collated, analyzed, and summarized into a results report (see Appendix One) that in turn was used to inform and guide steering committee decisions and assist in designing and structuring a working agenda for the August workshop.

1.2 Workshop Aims

The goal of the August 2005 workshop was “to seek agreement regarding the need for and priorities of a learning network to support effective marine protected areas throughout the Pacific
Islands – a network that functions as a learning community, committed to constructive dialogue, strategic action, respect for relationships and culturally competent ways of working.”

To achieve this goal, four objectives were to be achieved by workshop participants:

**Objective One:** To inventory and understand the strengths (resources) and needs of MPA management in the Pacific Islands, both in individual and collective (organization/island) efforts;

**Objective Two:** To prioritize opportunities and needs relating to a possible MPA community;

**Objective Three:** To generate a possible set of strategic responses/actions that the possible MPA community could take to meet the opportunities and needs; and

**Objective Four:** To initiate planning for leadership, coordination, communication, governance, and securing/committing human and financial resources for the possible MPA community.

### 1.3 Workshop Agenda

The workshop was held at the Guam Marriott Resort and Spa between Sunday, August 28 and Wednesday, August 31, 2005. The major sessions within the four-day agenda were as follows:

| August 28 | Morning | Welcome and workshop overview  
| August 28 | Afternoon | Inventory of the strengths and needs of regional efforts
| August 28 | Afternoon | Participant beliefs and expectations  
| August 28 | Afternoon | Group visioning exercise
| August 29 | Morning | Inventory of the strengths and needs of individuals
| August 29 | Afternoon | Field trip: guided snorkeling tour of Piti Bomb Holes Marine Preserve by Guam Division of Aquatic and Wildlife Resources
| August 30 | Morning | Developing creative and strategic responses to meet needs
| August 30 | Afternoon | Determine the group’s interest and commitment to forming a ‘Community’ of MPA practice in the Pacific Islands
| August 31 | Morning | Leadership, communications, and resources in the ‘Community’  
| August 31 | Afternoon | Next steps in the formation of the new ‘Community’
| August 31 | Afternoon | Adjourn

A draft workshop agenda was reviewed and approved by the workshop participants on the first day. Subsequent adjustments made to the agreed agenda were reviewed and approved in plenary by all workshop participants. The numerous outputs from the daily workshop sessions have been reviewed, edited and included within the text and appendices of this report.
2.0 MAJOR WORKSHOP OUTCOMES

2.1 Agreement on the Need to Create a Pacific Islands MPA ‘Community’

After three days of deliberation within and outside of the workshop setting, the group agreed that there was value in working together regionally to create a ‘Community’ of individuals and organizations working on MPA management in the Pacific Islands. The concept agreed upon by the group can be summarized as follows:

The Pacific Islands MPA Community is a continuous forum and community for the sharing of information, expertise, and experience to build capacity throughout the Pacific Islands region to support the effective development and management of MPAs.

Prior to the meeting, the workshop steering committee prepared a concept paper that was circulated throughout the region and used to solicit the reaction of individuals and organizations working on MPA management (see Appendix Two). Spontaneously, and after much discussion, the workshop participants agreed that much of that initial content outlined within this concept paper reflected the beliefs and interests of the larger group, pending a few important edits (italicized text) to the ‘purposes’ section, as follows:

2.2 Purposes of the Community (Day Four)

- Supporting the expressed needs of MPA sites, networks and programs through focused skill-building, on the job or intermittent trainings, including those that can result in recognized degrees and certifications, facilitating access to experts, and promoting staff exchanges.

- Building partnerships with academic and other institutions to strengthen long-term, locally-based MPA management and program capacity in the Pacific.

- Fostering information sharing about the state of this art, scientific knowledge and methods, local and traditional management systems.

- Promoting the exchange of knowledge, skills, lessons, and experiences by creating a regional learning network focused on peer to peer learning. This approach will build partnerships and learn from the experience of other successful efforts in other parts of the Pacific.

- The Community would serve as a support and facilitate the exchange of information on island MPA opportunities and needs with the outside world.

2.3 Decision to Create a Temporary Steering Committee (Day Four)

The group agreed that there was a definite need for a representative group to be created to carefully guide and oversee this newly created regional effort, at least in the near term. On the final day of the workshop (31 August 2005), several members from across the region were nominated and approved by the group into a temporary Steering Committee to oversee the Community’s next steps and follow-up activities, first off in preparation for the Community’s
next gathering, proposed for the 4-7 November 2005 US Coral Reef Task Force Meeting (CRTF) in Palau. At the Meeting (forthcoming at the time of this report writing), a proposal is to be shared for the creation of a more permanent group to support this work.

The details provided by the workshop participants regarding the creation of a temporary, regional Steering Committee for the Pacific Islands MPA Community are as follows:

Size: 6 to 10 regional representatives, maximum.

Principles for Steering Committee Membership:

- Be representative of participating national, state, and territorial governments;
- Be representative of the local, national, and regional non-governmental organizations and academia operating within the region; and
- Be representative of the major regional efforts; e.g., Micronesians in Island Conservation (MIC), US CRTF, MAREPAC, the Locally Managed Marine Area (LMMA) Network.

Membership: (as approved through committee recommendation and group vote)

- The Steering Committee Coordinator will be Ms. Veikila Vuki (Guam).
- The Steering Committee Members will be: (1) Mr. Marion Henry (FSM), (2) Mr. Willy Kostka (FSM), (3) Mr. Noah Idechong (Palau), (4) Mr. Terry Keju (RMI), (5) Mr. Mike Guilbeaux (Hawaii), Mr. Jonathan Kelsey (Washington DC), Ms. Athline Clark (Hawaii), Mr. Barry Smith (Guam), Ms. Laina Vaitaulolu (American Samoa)

Committee Roles:

- Based on the outputs of the August 2005 workshop, develop and recommend a regional agenda, year-one workplan, and roles for the operational group.
- Serve as advocates for the Pacific Islands MPA Community – seek opportunities and get them out to full group.
- Work with the Coordinator (Veikila Vuki) to develop role for coordinator.
- Get comments from full group to finalize a year-one agenda, operation, and work plan.

Communications: through email and phone; committee meetings via teleconference.

Immediate Actions: (September and October 2005)

a) Prepare draft outputs for distribution at the US CRTF Meeting; circulate these materials to all workshop participants for their review prior to the meeting (i.e., in early October).
b) Organize opportunity for regional efforts (Pacific Islands MPA Community, MIC, All Islands, MAREPAC, LMMA) will meet on the side at USCRTF meeting in Palau
c) Other actions: (1) draft talking points for Willy, Noah, and Charles to deliver at USCRTF meeting; (2) develop a press release (via NMFS or NWHICRER; and via Vangie Lujan); and (3) promote the support for the Pacific Islands MPA Community in high government officials’ talk at the USCRTF meeting.
2.4 Need for a Long-Term Operational Group (Day Four)

The group also agreed that the temporary Steering Committee would need to develop and implement a long-term operational group to replace the temporary steering committee and oversee the development of the Pacific Islands MPA Community.

The initial thinking out of the workshop for such a group was as follows:

**Size:** to be determined

**Principles for Operational Group Membership:**

- Be representative of participating national, state, and territorial governments;
- Be representative of the major regional efforts;
- Be representative of the local, national, and regional non-governmental organizations and academia operating within the region; and
- Be representative of racial, cultural, and gender diversity in the region.

**Membership:** to be determined

**Group Roles:**

- Implement workshop outputs and recommended year-one agenda and workplan (from the temporary Steering Committee);
- Seek partners and other links to address needs within the workplan;
- Serve as advocates for the Pacific Islands MPA Community; seek opportunities and get them out to full group;
- Identify ‘gaps’ and find ways to fill them.

A phased approach will allow one group to help set this in motion and another to keep it going.

2.5 Resources Offered to Assist this Effort (Day Four)

As part of the group presentations and discussions on the last day of the August 2005 Workshop, a number of resources were offered as being made available to help with the Pacific Islands MPA Community effort, including:

a) The formation of a temporary Steering Committee and the designation of a Coordinator (as described above).

b) Continued support from NOAA’s National Ocean Service (NOS), including:
   - Support for a Steering Committee coordinator for one year;
   - NOS staff support;
   - Information exchange support;
   - Limited funds for training/ knowledge exchanges;
- Assistance in seeking funds from the Coral Program; and
- Website communications.

c) Continued NOAA support, including:
- Assistance in seeking funds from both Fisheries and International Programs; and
- Support from technical staff.

d) LMMA support, including:
- Training, especially in the areas of: 1) monitoring and 2) community involvement; and
- Support from a regional LMMA coordinator, to be located in Guam (perhaps by the end of 2005).

e) The Nature Conservancy (TNC) support, including:
- Access to information provided to MIC participants (past and future);
- Assistance in seeking additional funds to expand and continue MIC;
- Access to Early Action Grants (targeted to setting up MPAs and for holding community meetings);
- Coordinators in the region (Palau and Guam); and
- Technical support regarding eco-regional assessments, sustainable financing, conservation action planning, and coral reef resilience.

*Photo:* The participants of the August 2005 Pacific Islands MPA Community Workshop.
3.0 DAILY WORKSHOP OUTPUTS

3.1 List of MPA Strengths and Needs, Regionally (Day One)

A list of regional MPA management strengths and needs was generated by the group along ten categories: (1) Public education and outreach, awareness raising, (2) Public support and buy-in, (3) Public perception of MPA effectiveness, (4) Public participation and engagement in mgmt activity, (5) Enforcement and surveillance, (6) Human resources, (7) Financial resources, (8) Potential and senior management leadership buy-in and support, (9) Partnerships and coordination between government agencies and NGOs, and (10) other. The results of this exercise are included within the table below.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Public education and outreach, awareness raising</th>
<th>Public support and buy-in</th>
<th>Public perception of MPA effectiveness</th>
<th>Public participation and engagement in mgmt activity</th>
<th>Enforcement and surveillance</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Strengths:</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• NOAA (high priority)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• UOG/academic</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• WPRFMC: Ecosystem Advisory Panel, Scientific Statistical Committee, Bio Plan teams</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• LMMA Network: at local level</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Needs:</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• US All Islands Committee: bring success stories to the task force; from there, disseminate nationally and internationally; successes</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Human resources</th>
<th>Financial resources</th>
<th>Potential and senior management leadership buy-in and support</th>
<th>Partnerships and coordination between government agencies and NGOs</th>
<th>Other (please specify)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Strengths:</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• NOAA (priority)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Strengths:</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• NOAA</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Other (please specify)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>• NOAA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Needs:</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>--------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NOAA (priority)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PICRC</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MAREPAC</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MCT</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DOI</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>US All Islands Committee: representing support of needs and successes of the region by governors at the US CRTF Meetings</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MPA SAG: representing the issues, fears, and successes to the MPA Center on the natural network of MPAs and MPA initiatives</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NOAA (priority)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>UOG/Academic</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MAREPAC</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CCN</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LMMA Network</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DOI: training in education</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>WPRFMC: promote sustainable resource use</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MIC</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MAREPAC</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PICRC</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LMMA Network</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CCN</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
3.2  List of MPA Strengths and Needs, by Individual (Day Two)

Participants also individually offered their MPAmangement strengths and needs, as follows:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Name/Strengths, passion, commitment</th>
<th>Island/Needs</th>
<th>Contact Information</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1. Peter Craig</td>
<td>American Samoa</td>
<td>National Park Service National Park of American Samoa Pago Pago, American Samoa 96799 Phone: (684) 633-7082 Fax: (684) 633-7085 Email: <a href="mailto:peter_craig@nps.gov">peter_craig@nps.gov</a></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>STRENGTHS:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Commitment to the concept of a network of MPAs</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>NEEDS:</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Need effective plan for fish restoration</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Need enforcement plan</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Need no-take areas</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Contact Information</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>National Park Service</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>National Park of American Samoa</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pago Pago, American Samoa 96799</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Phone: (684) 633-7082</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fax: (684) 633-7085</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Email: <a href="mailto:peter_craig@nps.gov">peter_craig@nps.gov</a></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2. Nancy Daschbach</td>
<td>American Samoa</td>
<td>NOAA Fagatele Bay National Marine Sanctuary Pago Pago, A. Samoa 96799 Phone: (684) 633-7354 Fax: (684) 633-7355 Email: <a href="mailto:nancy.daschbach@noaa.gov">nancy.daschbach@noaa.gov</a></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>STRENGTHS:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Representing new Pacific Island region program</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Experience running sanctuary</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Establishing partnerships at all levels</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>NEEDS:</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Need effective plan for fish restoration</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Need enforcement strengthened</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Need no-take areas</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Public perception of MPA effectiveness</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Contact Information</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NOAA Fagatele Bay National Marine Sanctuary</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pago Pago, A. Samoa 96799</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Phone: (684) 633-7354</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fax: (684) 633-7355</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Email: <a href="mailto:nancy.daschbach@noaa.gov">nancy.daschbach@noaa.gov</a></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3. Risa Oram</td>
<td>American Samoa</td>
<td>Department of Marine and Wildlife Resources Pago Pago, American Samoa 96799 Phone: (684) 633 4456 Fax: (684) 633 5944 Email: <a href="mailto:risoram@yahoo.com">risoram@yahoo.com</a></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>STRENGTHS:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Working with different levels of government</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Planning; designing MPA network; designing no-take areas</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>NEEDS:</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Want to talk with people in state and national MPA networks, esp. where customary marine tenure exists</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Long-term funding for enforcement; sustainable financing</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Training needs: training in statistics, fisheries management, data management, data analysis, MPA management, and conflict resolution</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>How to better understand traditional practices/culture</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>How to facilitate fisheries regulations</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Leadership development, values formation</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Capacity in socioeconomic and governance monitoring and MPA effectiveness evaluation</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Contact Information</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Department of Marine and Wildlife Resources</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pago Pago, American Samoa 96799</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Phone: (684) 633 4456</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fax: (684) 633 5944</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Email: <a href="mailto:risoram@yahoo.com">risoram@yahoo.com</a></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4. Selaina Vaitautolu</td>
<td>American Samoa</td>
<td>Department of Marine and Wildlife Resources Pago Pago, American Samoa 96799 Phone: (684) 633 4456 Fax: (684) 633 5944 Email: <a href="mailto:tnahinemana@yahoo.com">tnahinemana@yahoo.com</a></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>STRENGTHS:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>I speak the language; serve as the bridge between management and traditional communities</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Do both technical and policy roles</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>NEEDS:</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Find out the tools that are available to me;</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Find out what communities need to know both biologically and socio-economically</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Find out what kind of approaches are other Pacific Islanders using to address challenges with working with local communities (&quot;we need a boat&quot;)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fund/find a boat</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Contact Information</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Department of Marine and Wildlife Resources</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pago Pago, American Samoa 96799</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Phone: (684) 633 4456</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fax: (684) 633 5944</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Email: <a href="mailto:tnahinemana@yahoo.com">tnahinemana@yahoo.com</a></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5. Sarah Fischer</td>
<td>California</td>
<td>NOAA National MPA Center 99 Pacific Street, Suite 100 Monterey, CA 93940 Phone: (831) 242-2054 Fax:(831) 242-2051 Email: <a href="mailto:sarah.fischer@noaa.gov">sarah.fischer@noaa.gov</a></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>STRENGTHS:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Creating partnerships with federal agencies</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Committed to MPAs</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Social science research agenda development</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pacific-wide coastal socioeconomic monitoring effort</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>NEEDS:</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>How to work with communities on what MPAs are, how they are useful</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lessons learned on MPAs, what has worked, not worked</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Contact Information</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NOAA National MPA Center 99 Pacific Street, Suite 100 Monterey, CA 93940</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Phone: (831) 242-2054</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fax:(831) 242-2051</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Email: <a href="mailto:sarah.fischer@noaa.gov">sarah.fischer@noaa.gov</a></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6. Julita Albert</td>
<td>Chuuk</td>
<td>Chuuk State Environmental Protection Agency PO Box 189 Weno, Chuuk State, FSM 96942 Phone: (691) 330-4158 Fax: (691) 330-6213 Email: <a href="mailto:julita-epa@mail.fm">julita-epa@mail.fm</a></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>STRENGTHS:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Communicating with people</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Building partnerships between government and NGOs</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>NEEDS:</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Public support/outreach</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Financial resources</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Contact Information</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chuuk State Environmental Protection Agency</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PO Box 189</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Weno, Chuuk State, FSM 96942</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Phone: (691) 330-4158</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fax: (691) 330-6213</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Email: <a href="mailto:julita-epa@mail.fm">julita-epa@mail.fm</a></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Name/Strengths, passion, commitment</td>
<td>Island/Needs</td>
<td>Contact Information</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-----------------------------------</td>
<td>-------------</td>
<td>---------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Romio Osiena</strong></td>
<td>Chuuk</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>STRENGTHS:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Ability to speak local language, AND respect local ways/culture</td>
<td></td>
<td>Chuuk State Department of Marine Resources</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Establishing and maintaining relationships with local communities</td>
<td></td>
<td>PO Box 207</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Chuuk</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NEEDS:</td>
<td></td>
<td>Weno, Chuuk State, FSM 96942</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• How to make the CSC more forward effectively</td>
<td></td>
<td>Phone: (691) 330-2660</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Addressing illegal fishing in Chuuk through law</td>
<td></td>
<td>Fax: (691) 330-4157</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Examples of effective enforcement</td>
<td></td>
<td>E-mail: <a href="mailto:julita-epa@mail.fm">julita-epa@mail.fm</a></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Need capacity building in training others</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Kerat Rikim</strong></td>
<td>Chuuk</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>STRENGTHS:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Public surveyor</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Commitment to MPAs</td>
<td></td>
<td>Chuuk State Department of Marine Resources</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Awareness that the number and market-size of fish is declining in my home, as well as fishing grounds shifting</td>
<td></td>
<td>PO Box 207</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Chuuk</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td>Weno, Chuuk State, FSM 96942</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NEEDS:</td>
<td></td>
<td>Phone: (691) 330-6729</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Financial support in order to do public awareness to spread the message about the changes happening in the marine areas</td>
<td></td>
<td>Cell: (691) 930-3195</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Need long-term training in coral monitoring, species surveys, COT survey, and marine education for colleagues at Department; only two or three of us trained up</td>
<td></td>
<td>E-mail: <a href="mailto:julita-epa@mail.fm">julita-epa@mail.fm</a></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Mike Robert</strong></td>
<td>Chuuk</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>STRENGTHS:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Law enforcement of marine regulations within the State</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Educating/advocating current conservation system with family members, church members, clan leaders, and community members</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Recent establishment of MPA program within Chuuk</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• About to estbl. MPA Council for Chuuk State</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Chuuk</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NEEDS:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Assistance with enforcement of marine conservation laws and regulations; starts conflicts between families</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• More workshops like this; focus on establishing MPAs in other remote areas in Pacific</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Greg Moretti</strong></td>
<td>Commonwealth of Northern Marina Islands</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>STRENGTHS:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Commitment and passion for the long-term success of effective MPAs</td>
<td></td>
<td>Division of Fish and Wildlife</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Finding out how to do local-support of MPAs</td>
<td></td>
<td>PO Box 10007, Lower Base</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Coordinating local agencies</td>
<td></td>
<td>Saipan, MP 96950</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Commonwealth of Northern Marina Islands</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td>Phone: (670) 664 6030</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NEEDS:</td>
<td></td>
<td>Cell: (670) 898 0362</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• How to do effective enforcement in a small island community</td>
<td></td>
<td>Fax: (670) 664-6060</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Capacity for enforcement</td>
<td></td>
<td>Email: <a href="mailto:moretti@gmail.com">moretti@gmail.com</a></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Boundary delineation: enforcers and fishers know where they are; enforceable</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Others’ experiences in developing MPA regulations; what works and does not</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Semisi Meo</strong></td>
<td>Fiji Islands</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>STRENGTHS:</td>
<td></td>
<td>Institute of Applied Sciences</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Fiji locally-managed marine area (LMMA) approach to working with local communities</td>
<td></td>
<td>University of the South Pacific</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• How to expand and replicate locally-supported and operated MPAs</td>
<td></td>
<td>PO Box 1168</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• How to form a MPA network with both government and NGOs</td>
<td></td>
<td>Suva, Fiji Islands</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Local management support</td>
<td></td>
<td>Phone: (679) 323-2965</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Community involvement in community-based management</td>
<td></td>
<td>Fax: (679) 323 1534</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Human resources: USP using post-graduate students as conservation officers at 200 community LMMA sites</td>
<td></td>
<td>Email: <a href="mailto:meo_s@usp.ac.fj">meo_s@usp.ac.fj</a></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Fiji Islands</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NEEDS:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Technical training in biophysical analysis and statistics, designing new sites</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Enforcement of existing LMMA sites</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Anne Brooke</strong></td>
<td>Guam</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>STRENGTHS:</td>
<td></td>
<td>U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Biologist; technical skills and knowledge in forest ecology, in both upland and mangrove forests</td>
<td></td>
<td>Guam National Wildlife Refuge</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Guam</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td>POB 8134, MOU-3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NEEDS:</td>
<td></td>
<td>Dededo, Guam 96929</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Impact assessment of reef that is partially fished</td>
<td></td>
<td>Phone: (671) 339-7051</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Resource management tools</td>
<td></td>
<td>Email: <a href="mailto:Anne_Brooke@fws.gov">Anne_Brooke@fws.gov</a></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Name/Strengths, passion, commitment</td>
<td>Island/Needs</td>
<td>Contact Information</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-------------------------------------</td>
<td>--------------</td>
<td>---------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>13. John Calvo</strong>&lt;br&gt;STRENGTHS:  • Born in islands; cultural sensitivity of values, traditions, and customs&lt;br&gt; • Community outreach&lt;br&gt; • Development of partnerships&lt;br&gt; • Working with individualistic communities&lt;br&gt; • Working one-on-one with individuals in community, staying on top of personal contacts and relationships</td>
<td>Guam&lt;br&gt;NEEDS:  • Database of contacts&lt;br&gt; • Environmental education and outreach&lt;br&gt; • Overwhelming amount of work; need effective time management skills&lt;br&gt; • Working across time zones</td>
<td>Western Pacific Regional Fisheries Management Council&lt;br&gt;PMB 432F&lt;br&gt;415 Chalan San Antonio&lt;br&gt;Tamuning, Guam 96913&lt;br&gt;Phone: (671) 649 3150&lt;br&gt;Fax: (671) 649 3150&lt;br&gt;E-mail: <a href="mailto:john.calvo@noaa.gov">john.calvo@noaa.gov</a></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>14. Jay Gutierrez</strong>&lt;br&gt;STRENGTHS:  • Passion and dedication for work – natural resources&lt;br&gt; • Technical knowledge</td>
<td>Guam&lt;br&gt;NEEDS:  • Partnership with other areas in the region&lt;br&gt; • Network for individuals in the region&lt;br&gt; • Better communication with other islands and information exchange</td>
<td>Division of Aquatic and Wildlife Resources&lt;br&gt;163 Dairy Rd, Mangilao, Guam 96913&lt;br&gt;Phone: (671) 735-3955&lt;br&gt;Fax: (671) 734-6570&lt;br&gt;Email: <a href="mailto:jaygutierrez@yahoo.com">jaygutierrez@yahoo.com</a> or <a href="mailto:jaygutierrez@guamdawr.org">jaygutierrez@guamdawr.org</a></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>15. Trina Leberer</strong>&lt;br&gt;STRENGTHS:  • Communicator&lt;br&gt; • Good memory; see connections&lt;br&gt; • Can see the bigger picture&lt;br&gt; • Hands-on knowledge as biologist</td>
<td>Guam&lt;br&gt;NEEDS:  • Strong local partners on the ground to do the implementation&lt;br&gt; • Better means of communication&lt;br&gt; • Better means of sharing information remotely across the region</td>
<td>The Nature Conservancy&lt;br&gt;Micronesia Program&lt;br&gt;P.O. Box 5411&lt;br&gt;Hagatna, Guam 96932&lt;br&gt;Phone: (671) 789-2228&lt;br&gt;Fax: (671) 789-2228&lt;br&gt;Email: <a href="mailto:cleberer@tnc.org">cleberer@tnc.org</a></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>16. Vangie Lujan</strong>&lt;br&gt;STRENGTHS:  • Passion for work&lt;br&gt; • GIS experience and technical expertise&lt;br&gt; • Outside the box thinker; fearless</td>
<td>Guam&lt;br&gt;NEEDS:  • Human Resource limitations&lt;br&gt; • Getting public more educated about the region and environment and conservation&lt;br&gt; • How to communicate with the other islands&lt;br&gt; • How other islands communicate locally and educate locally; lessons on how Guam can do this (e.g., PSAs)</td>
<td>Bureau of Statistics and Plans&lt;br&gt;Coastal Zone Program&lt;br&gt;Anigua, Guam&lt;br&gt;Phone: (671) 475-9672&lt;br&gt;Email: <a href="mailto:vangie@mail.gov.gu">vangie@mail.gov.gu</a></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>17. Dwayne Minton</strong>&lt;br&gt;STRENGTHS:  • Speak the language of grant writing and government bureaucracy&lt;br&gt; • Translation of government agencies and local action&lt;br&gt; • Technical: biologist, site manager&lt;br&gt; • Translate science to local</td>
<td>Guam&lt;br&gt;NEEDS:  • Need help on how to get political and public buy-in on MPAs within Guam</td>
<td>Division of Aquatic and Wildlife Resources&lt;br&gt;163 Dairy Road, Mangilao, Guam 96913&lt;br&gt;Phone: (671) 735-3955&lt;br&gt;Fax: (671) 734-6569</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>18. Val Porter</strong>&lt;br&gt;STRENGTHS:  • Passion for work and resources&lt;br&gt; • Technical knowledge on monitoring and resources</td>
<td>Guam&lt;br&gt;NEEDS:  • Build skills in working locally&lt;br&gt; • Build public awareness and perceptions of resource</td>
<td>Division of Aquatic and Wildlife Resources&lt;br&gt;163 Dairy Road, Mangilao, Guam 96913&lt;br&gt;Phone: (671) 735-3955&lt;br&gt;Fax: (671) 734-6569&lt;br&gt;E-mail: <a href="mailto:vaporter2@yahoo.com">vaporter2@yahoo.com</a></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>19. Laurie Raymundo</strong>&lt;br&gt;STRENGTHS:  • Academia awareness&lt;br&gt; • Regional focus &amp; attention to capacity needs&lt;br&gt; • Skills/training in ecology, monitoring, statistical analysis, experimental design&lt;br&gt; • Can bring Philippines contacts &amp; experience here; how to do hypothesis-testing approach</td>
<td>Guam&lt;br&gt;NEED:  • New to Pacific Islands&lt;br&gt; • Poor communication between Pacific Islands and Asia (e.g., Philippines)&lt;br&gt; • Learning about what is working and not working&lt;br&gt; • Learn more about the region and what people are doing, projects going on</td>
<td>University of Guam&lt;br&gt;Marine Laboratory, UOG Station&lt;br&gt;Mangilao, Guam 96913&lt;br&gt;Phone: (671) 735-2190&lt;br&gt;Fax: (671) 734-6767&lt;br&gt;E-mail: <a href="mailto:lraymundo@guam.uog.edu">lraymundo@guam.uog.edu</a></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Name/Strengths, passion, commitment</td>
<td>Island/Needs</td>
<td>Contact Information</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-------------------------------------</td>
<td>-------------</td>
<td>---------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20. Barry Smith (not present during exercise)</td>
<td>Guam (not present during exercise)</td>
<td>University of Guam Marine Laboratory, UOG Station Mangilao, Guam 96913 Phone: (671) 735-2190 Fax: (671) 734-6767 E-mail: <a href="mailto:bdsmith@uog9.uog.edu">bdsmith@uog9.uog.edu</a></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21. Veikila Vuki</td>
<td>Guam</td>
<td>University of Guam Marine Laboratory, UOG Station Mangilao, Guam 96913 Phone: (671) 734-2948 Fax: (671) 7346767 E-mail: <a href="mailto:veikilav@guam.uog.edu">veikilav@guam.uog.edu</a> or <a href="mailto:vuki61@yahoo.co.uk">vuki61@yahoo.co.uk</a></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
| STRENGTHS:  
- Bridge between South Pacific to Micronesia; we are one ocean with same needs and similar backgrounds  
- Bridge to translate scientific findings into practical management actions and policies  
- Teaching and doing research in 12 countries over last 20 years  
- Passion for training the next generation in marine/MPA management, scientific research | NEEDS:  
- How can I integrate regional students in community colleges and universities into MPA efforts through NGOs and Government agencies so they can help, but also learn  
- How to influence UOG to include resource management within its marine biology offering  
- Need to see changes in people and islands through real action  
- Help PIMPAC to do this | |
| 22. Scott Atkinson | Hawaii | Community Conservation Network P.O Box 4674 Honolulu, HI 96812 Phone: (808) 528-3700 Fax: (808) 528 3701 Email: scott@conservationpractice.org |
| STRENGTHS:  
- Supporting people and assisting stakeholders from communities to figure out what they want to do and get the resources to do it  
- Experience working across region and the world, esp. in Indo-Pacific countries | NEED  
- A vacation!  
- More connections to other islands in the Pacific  
- How to bring Hawaii State government up to speed, bringing other Pacific Islands experiences into the State and its communities | |
| 23. Athline Clark | Hawaii | State of Hawaii Department of Land and Natural Resources Division of Aquatic Resources 1151 Punchbowl Street, Room 330 Honolulu, HI 96813 Phone: (808) 587-0099 Fax: (808) 587-0115 Email: athline.m.clark@hawaii.gov |
| STRENGTHS:  
- Point-of-contact to US CRTF  
- Co-chair of SAG to MPA Center; working with NOAA on developing national system  
- Can provide lessons learned on Hawaii MPAs; significant history and experience of how to do things right and wrong, what to anticipate before things happen  
- Building coalitions between agencies  
- Experience working at sea and in the region  
- Helping people how to swim and get re-connected to the resource | NEEDS:  
- Need success stories and lessons learned, esp. working locally with Hawaii communities  
- Accessing information on developing a compliance effort to balance the enforcement effort in Hawaii | |
| 24. Gerry Davis | Hawaii | NOAA National Marine Fisheries Service Pacific Islands Regional Office 1601 Kapiolani Blvd. Honolulu, HI 96814-4700 Phone: (808) 973-2935 x283 Fax: (808) 973-2941 Email: gerry.davis@noaa.gov |
| STRENGTHS:  
- Experience in developing MPA fishery management areas  
- Developing partnerships and relationships  
- Persistence and commitment  
- Conduit of getting regional issues into NOAA | NEEDS:  
- I need to know what you want or don’t want from me  
- Defined partnerships  
- Capacities to do what islands want to do | |
| 25. Meghan Gombos | Hawaii | NOAA Pacific Services Center 737 Bishop St. #2250 Honolulu, HI 96813 Phone: (808) 532 3961 Fax: (808) 532 3224 Email: meghan.gombos@noaa.gov |
| STRENGTHS:  
- Regional focus and experience  
- Sees links across region and island efforts, so able to id  
- DC and NOAA federal connections  
- Getting info from federal level into region  
- Access to funding mechanisms | NEEDS:  
- Best understanding what the region really wants to do and how NOAA can support those needs  
- How to make a difference in the islands without becoming a hassle or burden | |
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Name/Strengths, passion, commitment</th>
<th>Island/Needs</th>
<th>Contact Information</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>
| **26. Mike Guilbeaux**             | **Hawaii**   | Community Conservation Network  
P.O Box 4674  
Honolulu, HI 96812  
Phone: (808) 528-3700  
Fax: (808) 528 3701  
Email: mike@conservationpractice.org |
| **STRENGTHS:**                     | **NEEDS:**   |                      |
| • 13 years of experience working in region  
• Community-based conservation, esp. design and implementation  
• Remote reef surveillance and enforcement experience and success  
• LMMA involvement and coordination; interim representative to Micronesia region  
• Promotion of formal and informal learning  
• Experience in assessing the effectiveness of LMMA projects and MPAs based on monitoring done by community members  
• How to grow community-based projects and gain local support for them | • Find out what the region needs and could be served by LMMA Network  
• To know about all of you & your needs in the islands  
• Identify someone from Micronesia to represent the LMMA network  
• Time management needs given the high level of demand and lack of human resources; can’t do it all on every island  
• Human resources and funding needed to meet growing demand in region for community training |                      |
| **27. Moani Pai**                  | **Hawaii**   | NOAA Northwestern Hawaiian Island Coral Reef Ecosystem Reserve  
6600 Kalaniana‘ole Hwy, #300  
Honolulu, HI 96825  
Phone: (808) 397-2660 x228  
Fax: (808) 397-2662  
Email: moani.pai@noaa.gov |
| **STRENGTHS:**                     | **NEEDS:**   |                      |
| • Passion and commitment to my people  
• Commitment to NWHI and HI as a whole  
• Understand the language and culture of Hawaiians; can help translate to higher levels of involvement  
• Building connection between federal government and people on the ground  
• Public education and outreach within the islands; esp. student involvement within natural resource management within Hawaii, to stay at home and help out  
• Logistics, events planning, contacts | • Follow-through with promises made to including native Hawaiians in management: Pacific Islands experiences and lessons on how to get local people involved, how to get people to want to be stewards, how to improve compliance with marine resource management efforts  
• Getting public support and buy-in on convincing the people of Hawaii that protecting and designating the NWHI as a National Marine Sanctuary is the right thing to do  
• Enforcement strategies  
• How to be creative with limited resources and skills; how get enforcement done in effective/creative way |                      |
| **28. John Parks**                 | **Hawaii**   | NOAA National Ocean Service  
Pacific Services Center  
737 Bishop St. #2250  
Honolulu, HI 96813  
Phone: (808) 532 3961  
Fax: (808) 532 3224  
Email: john.parks@noaa.gov |
| **STRENGTHS:**                     | **NEEDS:**   |                      |
| • Good with people and building personal relationships; open and easy to approach; values working relationships and friendships  
• Family man, family roots in Hawaii  
• Passionate about effective coastal management and community involvement | • New to NOAA, still learning how to fill federal role; trying to stay true to himself – needs advice from non-NOAA peers on how best he can best help/contribute  
• Need increased knowledge about the region and experience working within Micronesia  
• Needs to listen more and talk less |                      |
| **29. Marina Piscolish**           | **Hawaii**   | MAPping Change, LLC  
PO Box 1544  
Kailua, HI 96734  
Phone: (808) 375-8993  
Email: mappingchange1@hawaii.rr.com |
| **STRENGTHS:**                     | **NEEDS:**   |                      |
| • Connectivity between different efforts; sees patterns & opportunities between diff. efforts  
• See opportunities for organizing action  
• Process advisor, facilitator for how to come together and get stuff done | • How to bring native and western management practices together, bring native people into action  
• Staying in one time zone |                      |
| **30. Kalani Souza**               | **Hawaii**   | MAPping Change, LLC  
PO Box 1544  
Kailua, HI 96734  
Phone: (808) 561-6990  
Email: mappingchange@hawaii.rr.com |
| **STRENGTHS:**                     | **NEEDS:**   |                      |
| • Messaging, media relations, getting your message across, marketing  
• Song-writer and story teller  
• Musical production and event management, esp. for fund raising or getting your messages across without a lot of resources  
• Out-of-the-box thinking; creative thinking | • To be loved  
• Needs a break |                      |
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Name/Strengths, passion, commitment</th>
<th>Island/Needs</th>
<th>Contact Information</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Cultural and native Hawaiian practitioner</td>
<td>Fish data collection and monitoring</td>
<td>Kosrae State Marine Resources PO Box 82 Tofol, Kosrae, FSM 96944 Phone: (691) 370-3031 Fax: (691) 370-3362 E-mail: <a href="mailto:fisherieskos@mail.fm">fisherieskos@mail.fm</a> or <a href="mailto:twabraham@yahoo.com">twabraham@yahoo.com</a></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Contacts with Native Hawaiian and Native American practitioners and intellectual property rights around cultural practices</td>
<td>Group input on managing MPAs Assistance on getting group together Group like this to work together Greater enforcement capabilities: how to function and work with community</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tony Abraham</td>
<td>Kosrae</td>
<td>STRENGTH: Fish data collection and monitoring</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Robert Jackson</td>
<td>Kosrae</td>
<td>STRENGTHS: Working with other gov agencies &amp; dept.s Speak the language of local people Attends church regularly Speak more than one language Public education activities I know what we are facing in my home</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hostino Livaie</td>
<td>Kosrae</td>
<td>STRENGTHS: Getting the community into our canoe, talking with them, informing them of the importance of conservation of marine species Getting government cooperation and attention to our needs First biosphere reserve designation in UNDP</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Marston Luckymis</td>
<td>Kosrae</td>
<td>STRENGTHS: Community consultation and outreach Grassroots outreach and organizing skills Working with local orgs &amp; gov. agencies Biosphere reserve designation (July 2005) Working with community to establish community-based projects</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Helen Golde</td>
<td>Maryland</td>
<td>STRENGTHS: Conduit into NOAA, US CRFT Speaks the language of NOAA bureaucracy Able to get answers to questions from the region, point-of-access</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Jonathan Kelsey</td>
<td>Maryland</td>
<td>STRENGTHS: Can help to take priorities in region back to the agency/DC, and help to shift the focus of current and future NOAA priorities to support your efforts and needs in the region Raising funds and securing finances Creative in securing resources</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>NEEDS: Information about what is happening on the ground here in the region</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>NEEDS: Regional leaders/contacts who can be on the ground and who speak the language to work with me to shift priorities in NOAA Help in meeting the requirements in regional partnerships and funding; e.g., reporting and measurement of impacts and success</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>NEEDS: To talk with people who have same responsibilities and roles in management that he has To talk with others that are managing marine parks and conservation areas How to grow big mangrove crabs Sustainable funding</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>STRENGTHS: Fish data collection and monitoring</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>NEEDS: Finding financial support Connection to other Pacific Islands people and their technical approaches Lessons on others’ Pacific Islands projects</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>STRENGTHS: Getting the community into our canoe, talking with them, informing them of the importance of conservation of marine species Getting government cooperation and attention to our needs First biosphere reserve designation in UNDP</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>STRENGTHS: Community consultation and outreach Grassroots outreach and organizing skills Working with local orgs &amp; gov. agencies Biosphere reserve designation (July 2005) Working with community to establish community-based projects</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>STRENGTHS: Can help to take priorities in region back to the agency/DC, and help to shift the focus of current and future NOAA priorities to support your efforts and needs in the region Raising funds and securing finances Creative in securing resources</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>NEEDS: To talk with people who have same responsibilities and roles in management that he has To talk with others that are managing marine parks and conservation areas How to grow big mangrove crabs Sustainable funding</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>STRENGTHS: Fish data collection and monitoring</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>NEEDS: Finding financial support Connection to other Pacific Islands people and their technical approaches Lessons on others’ Pacific Islands projects</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Name/Strengths, passion, commitment</td>
<td>Island/Needs</td>
<td>Contact Information</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>------------------------------------</td>
<td>--------------</td>
<td>---------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>37. Bill Millhouser</strong>&lt;br&gt;STRENGTHS:&lt;br&gt;• Passion for the coast and for marine management&lt;br&gt;• Affinity for the Pacific Islands&lt;br&gt;• Knowledge of how funds are allocated in Washington, US CRTF&lt;br&gt;• How to put together capacity building opportunities, fellowships, technical training, and funding for region</td>
<td>Maryland&lt;br&gt;NEEDS:&lt;br&gt;• Cut off from the Pacific, need more communication&lt;br&gt;• Limited knowledge of cultural and political situations and institutions; need to understand the local economic situation and culture, local political system&lt;br&gt;• Understand the existing support systems and NGOs that are already operating in region so that NOAA does not blindly come in suggest activities that are already underway in region&lt;br&gt;• Need for NOAA to operate as one NOAA (National Marine Fisheries Service, National Ocean Service)</td>
<td>NOAA Office of Ocean and Coastal Resource Management&lt;br&gt;1305 East West Hwy&lt;br&gt;Silver Spring, MD 20910-3281&lt;br&gt;Phone: (301) 713-3155 x189&lt;br&gt;Fax:(301) 713-4367&lt;br&gt;Email: <a href="mailto:bill.millhouser@noaa.gov">bill.millhouser@noaa.gov</a></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>38. Noah Idechong</strong>&lt;br&gt;STRENGTHS:&lt;br&gt;• Passion for the sea&lt;br&gt;• Consistent connection to the sea and a way of life in the ocean&lt;br&gt;• Experience and lessons from the Palau experience&lt;br&gt;• Many friends and mutual respect from colleagues as greatest asset; share experiences so that we can grow&lt;br&gt;• Access to certain leaders and level of political influence with other Micronesian leaders</td>
<td>Palau&lt;br&gt;NEEDS:&lt;br&gt;• To identify the leaders and those with the passion to change the way of the future&lt;br&gt;• To build stronger local support and ownership over management processes and action</td>
<td>Palau National Congress&lt;br&gt;6th Olbiil Era Kelulau&lt;br&gt;P.O. Box 8&lt;br&gt;Koror, Republic of Palau 96940&lt;br&gt;Phone: (680)488-1291&lt;br&gt;E-mail: <a href="mailto:rdc@palaunet.com">rdc@palaunet.com</a></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>39. Ilebrang U. Olkeriil</strong>&lt;br&gt;STRENGTHS:&lt;br&gt;• Palau experience and awareness&lt;br&gt;• Dedicated and hard-working on the ground; everything from logistics to field work&lt;br&gt;• Willingness to learn&lt;br&gt;• Working with community groups, NGOs, and government to manage the Rock Islands</td>
<td>Palau&lt;br&gt;NEEDS:&lt;br&gt;• Human resources to assist in management&lt;br&gt;• Technical assistance on monitoring, surveillance, and enforcement&lt;br&gt;• Learn from other Pacific Islanders in how to balance the protected area needs while also maintaining local relationships</td>
<td>Department of Conservation &amp; Law Enforcement&lt;br&gt;P.O. Box 116&lt;br&gt;Koror, Republic of Palau 96940&lt;br&gt;Phone: (680) 488 4001/8738&lt;br&gt;Fax: (680) 488 2862&lt;br&gt;E-mail: <a href="mailto:rica@kororstate.org">rica@kororstate.org</a></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>40. Marion Henry</strong>&lt;br&gt;STRENGTHS:&lt;br&gt;• As an islander, has a passion for the islands&lt;br&gt;• 30 years of experience of working at all levels of government (local, State, and national agencies)&lt;br&gt;• Has access to regional agencies like SOPAC, SPREP, SPC&lt;br&gt;• Lived on most islands in FSM; good understanding of people and cultures&lt;br&gt;• Reef owner in Chuuk; intimate knowledge in reef tenure system</td>
<td>Pohnpei (and FSM-wide)&lt;br&gt;NEEDS:&lt;br&gt;• More people/leaders with passion and commitment&lt;br&gt;• More connections to funding&lt;br&gt;• Fast and reliable communications</td>
<td>FSM Department of Economic Affairs&lt;br&gt;Fisheries Unit&lt;br&gt;PO Box PS 12&lt;br&gt;Palikir, Pohnpei, FSM 96941&lt;br&gt;Tel: (691) 320-2646&lt;br&gt;Fax: (691) 320- 5854&lt;br&gt;E-mail: <a href="mailto:marionh@mail.fm">marionh@mail.fm</a></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>41. Willy Kostka</strong>&lt;br&gt;STRENGTHS:&lt;br&gt;• Community approach (‘speak the language of my community’); how to work with them&lt;br&gt;• Fund raising</td>
<td>Pohnpei&lt;br&gt;NEEDS:&lt;br&gt;• Scientific and technical areas (need contacts/capacity)&lt;br&gt;• Bring government partners into work; show how other island governments work at necc. level&lt;br&gt;• Bring partnerships into Pohnpei government</td>
<td>Conservation Society of Pohnpei&lt;br&gt;P.O. Box 2461&lt;br&gt;Kolonia, Pohnpei FSM 96941&lt;br&gt;Tel: (691) 320-5409&lt;br&gt;Fax: (691) 320-5063&lt;br&gt;E-mail: <a href="mailto:csp@mail.fm">csp@mail.fm</a></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>42. Miram Ankeid</strong>&lt;br&gt;STRENGTHS:&lt;br&gt;• How to work with traditional leaders and cultural practices at local level&lt;br&gt;• How to fish using customary practices&lt;br&gt;• How to use conservation areas to support these</td>
<td>Republic of the Marshall Islands&lt;br&gt;NEEDS:&lt;br&gt;• Work together and share knowledge with others in region</td>
<td>Jailut Community-based MPA, Marshall Islands&lt;br&gt;c/o Marshall Islands EPA&lt;br&gt;PO Box 1184&lt;br&gt;Majuro, RMI 96960&lt;br&gt;Phone: (692) 625-3035&lt;br&gt;Fax: (692) 625-5202&lt;br&gt;Email: <a href="mailto:cparmi@ntamar.net">cparmi@ntamar.net</a></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Name/Strengths, passion, commitment</td>
<td>Island/Needs</td>
<td>Contact Information</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>------------------------------------</td>
<td>--------------</td>
<td>---------------------</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
PO Box 1184  
Majuro, RMI 96960  
Phone: (692) 625-3035  
Fax: (692) 625-5202  
Email: eparmi@ntamar.net |
| **STRENGTHS:**                     | NEEDS: • Get funding to support conservation efforts long-term, maintain momentum  
• Allow traditional measures within conservation activities |  
• Access to decision makers and national government leaders  
• Experience working with government and regional bodies  
• Experience working with local communities and NGOs in the Marshall Islands  
• Desire to help people to improve their way of life; sustainable use |
| 44. Tregar Albon Ishoda            | Republic of the Marshall Islands | Marshall Islands Marine Resources Authority  
PO Box 860  
Majuro, RMI 96960  
Phone: (692) 625-8262  
Fax: (692) 625-5447  
Email: albon@mimra.com |
| **STRENGTHS:**                     | NEEDS: • Human resources  
• Overcommitted (doing everything); exhausted  
• Network of people like you to connect with  
• Better planning skills for MPAs  
• US Treasury Secretary phone number |  
• Cultural sensitivity; respect for islands  
• Passion and love for the ocean  
• Experience facilitating between local government, national government, and local leaders (the three authorities) to do conservation  
• Local logistics  
• Handling government bureaucracy |
| 45. Terry Keju                     | Republic of the Marshall Islands | Marshall Islands Marine Resources Authority  
PO Box 860  
Majuro, RMI 96960  
Phone: (692) 625-8262  
Fax: (692) 625-5447  
Email: tkeju@mimra.com |
| **STRENGTHS:**                     | NEEDS: • Capacity building, particularly in terms of monitoring and evaluation of MPAs at a national level |  
• Experience with community fisheries projects  
• Working at a Micronesian level  
• Focus on regional training in Micronesia, rather than always looking south for their experience  
• Culture, language, and local involvement  
• Coordination of government agencies in the local conservation efforts  
• Can easily talk with local government and elected leaders |
| 46. Eldon Note                     | Republic of the Marshall Islands | Marshall Islands Mayoral Council  
c/o Marshall Islands Marine Resources Authority  
PO Box 860  
Majuro, RMI 96960  
Phone: (692) 625-8262  
Fax: (692) 625-5447  
Email: albon@mimra.com |
| **STRENGTHS:**                     | NEEDS: • To learn more about this effort  
• To figure out how to continue this discussion, come together again |  
• Working at the community level  
• Share desire and needs of local/community conservation efforts  
• Sustainable community management |
P.O. Box 649  
Majuro, RMI 96960  
Tel: (692) 625-5903  
Email: stevewhy@coralatolls.org or why_steve@hotmail.com |
| **STRENGTHS:**                     | NEEDS: • Financial support for community-based conservation projects (not only research efforts)  
• Improved donor relationships  
• Long-term, sincere partners  
• Donors to not only look at the Marshall Islands Compact as the funding answer |  
• My partners in the Marshall Islands  
• Interagency group: is much like a family, and it brings me strength  
• Passion  
• Technical foundation: understanding of coral reefs and fisheries  
• Experience in Pacific Islands (25 years)  
• Teaching/outreach skills  
• Honesty and fearlessness  
• Humility  
• Commitment to life-long learning; ability to accept that will make mistakes, and not beat myself up |
### Table

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Name/Strengths, passion, commitment</th>
<th>Island/Needs</th>
<th>Contact Information</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>STRENGTHS:</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Good at managing Bill M.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Experience working in the Caribbean region</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Marine biologist; Smithsonian coordinator for monitoring efforts in region</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Access to DOI resources</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Understanding of how my office can provide technical support and funding opportunities</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Opportunity to work with all of you and find ways to do so</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>49. Charles Chieng</td>
<td>Yap</td>
<td>Yap Community Action Program</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>STRENGTHS:</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td>Colonia, Yap FSM 96943 Tel: (691) 350-2198 Fax: (691) 350-2391 E-mail: <a href="mailto:ycap@mail.fm">ycap@mail.fm</a></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Close working relationships with Micronesian conservation leaders</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Network of contacts in region</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Members of : MCT, MIC, small grants program, climate change program, regional recycling committee</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>50. Marjorie Falanruw</td>
<td>Yap</td>
<td>Yap Institute of Natural Sciences</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>STRENGTHS:</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td>Colonia, Yap, FSM 96943 Phone: (691) 350-3115 E-mail: <a href="mailto:mfallanruw@mail.fm">mfallanruw@mail.fm</a></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• “Yap’s grandmother scientist”</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Founded Micronesia’s first environmental non-government organization</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Broad experience over many years</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Publications</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Long-term resident &amp; commitment to the area</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Support for local efforts</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>51. Andy Tafleiching</td>
<td>Yap</td>
<td>Yap Department of Marine Resources and Development</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>STRENGTHS:</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td>PO Box 251 Colonia, Yap, FSM 96943 Phone: (691) 350-2294/2350 Fax: (691) 350-4494 E-mail: <a href="mailto:fsmiwp@mail.fm">fsmiwp@mail.fm</a></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Happy to learn more from everyone</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Undertaking MPA designation through SPREP project; have learned a lot, some successes and some challenges</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Good community support</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Works with traditional leaders</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>NEEDS:</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Capable communities to effectively implement conservation programs in marine or inland areas of islands; need to develop local capacity</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>NEEDS:</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• The time to focus locally</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

#### 3.3 List of MPA Strengths and Needs, by Island Group (Day Three)

Workshop participants met by island group to discuss and generate the following inventory of island-specific MPA management strengths and needs.

**American Samoa**

How a Pacific Islands MPA Community can add value (our priority needs):
- Finding ways to enhance implementation approach at federal/state/local level;
- Tap into the expertise of the workshop participants;
- Identify ways that we can modify ways that are working in other areas; and
Seek models/mechanisms at national level that support MPA development at national/political level.

Federated States of Micronesia

What we bring to this community (our strengths):
- Experience with MIC and everything that falls within MIC brings is a strength;
- Also MCT – SGP – sub-regional- it’s a mechanism that others can learn from (how to set up a trust fund);
- GEF small grants program – for RMI, Palau, FSM – approximately $600K per year - can help folks here access those funds;
- Resources – have coral reef and a land resources, over 600 islands, and the people;
- A precedence for marine management that is a wealth of traditional information;
- Good examples of NGOs, and partnerships between NGOs and gov.;
- Good examples of MPAs that work because of partnerships; and
- Lessons learned.

How a Pacific Islands MPA Community can add value (our priority needs):
- Channel information about island opportunities and needs to NOAA and all other donors;
- Cutting edge science to support MPA planning, establishment and management;
- People – build capacity of local individuals on the ground. Proposal is to look at short term trainings and more formal education that can earn degrees. Work out something with academic institutions so students don’t leave and can work in the communities;
- Matchmaking – academic and science institutions to adopt a program or site (local, national, regional) or a least a directory. Community be a match maker – get people involved with bigger institutions like AIMS/UH;
- Technical Support – (i.e. GIS system) for members and making sure locals benefit the most and not outside agencies. (building skills at local level);
- Building resilience into design of MPA management – long term goal (look at science and application at the local level);
- Having a directory of resources both within this community and without (so you can identify who can help – PIMPAC can be used to access these resources); and
- Suggesting the Community stay loose – those who had the vision, keep it going initially to continue this dialogue and then decide later weather or not to formalize. Some continued informal support from original visionaries.

Guam

How a Pacific Islands MPA Community can add value (our priority needs):
- Outreach and Education – lack of communication because of multi cultural influence/ get help in addressing some of the issues by other island partners and then share outreach pieces with those islands;
- Communication and sharing of information – how do we overcome these challenges in even communicating internationally, internet access, etc.;
Suggested solution: have someone who could coordinate and maintain a list of contact information that includes projects they have worked on in the past and what they are currently working towards. This directory should include funding sources so that people know who to contact to get information. Create a directory of this info and does not require a lot of reporting but can be relatively quick and easy;

- Improving social science in the region;
- Help communication infrastructure for all islands to improve ability to communicate.

Hawaii

What we bring to this community (our strengths):

- Research institutions and access to the federal government resources;
- Several different types of MPAs: approach was driven from the bottom-up (local). Communities were initially involved, but it was then decided that the state should take care of the rest;
- A lot of experience with tourism (how to manage people); and
- Access to national NGOs, dive operators, outreach success stories etc. (e.g., see our newspaper insert on MPAs).

How a Pacific Islands MPA Community can add value (our priority needs):

- Outreach and education to specifically create a movement in support of MPAs. Target groups: fishermen/tourism industry/political or administrative level management. Regional benefit out of exchange visits and lessons from other islands (lessons and success stories from all of these islands to support O&E of targeted stakeholder in HI);
- Community Planning: lessons from other islands;
- Sustainable financing: need examples and have examples to share; and
- Human Resources.

Marshall Islands

What we bring to this community (our strengths):

- Success story: achievement of the Juilet Atoll Conservation Area Project Pan;
- Demonstration site: Community Based Fisheries Management Project (MIMRA) – interagency coordination – Coastal Resource Advisory Group (CRAG); and
- Bottom-up approach through existing atoll management plans: atoll will write to government agency to set up a plan and see MPAs are an important tool in managing resources.

How a Pacific Islands MPA Community can add value (our priority needs):

- Funding: access grants and short term capacity building through a local network; support regional training needs;
- Want to initiate a strategic plan for MPAs (10-5 yr vision);
- Need assessment of CRAG (training/equipment/$/institution);
- Monitoring of MPAs in place in some areas;
- Network of people to share/learn from peers (we have been left out!);
- Join MIC/LMMA;
Strengthen partnership with MAREPAC;
Want to be a part of the Pacific Islands MPA Community network in order to learn and share MPA experience from all other islands (especially in Palau, Pohnpei/FSM, and Samoa);
Improved network communications via the web, e-mail, and face-to-face meetings;
Help with fundraising; and
Short term funding needs to match EPA funding and be used for operational costs.

3.4 Notes from the ‘Skills Building’ Working Group (Day Three)

MPA Skills Building Needs:
- Community organizing/planning/mobilizing
- Higher degrees in marine management
- Facilitations/conflict resolution
- Enforcement
- Biophysical/socioeconomic monitoring (including identification)
- Data management and analysis
- Governance
- MPA effectiveness
- MPA network design
- Marketing
- Sustainable finance
- Fundraising/grant writing
- Strategic planning

MPA Skills Building Tools:
- Staff exchanges
- Short-term training/courses **Follow-up is critical**
- Panel of experts/skills team to travel (could be follow-up to short courses)
- Fellowships
- Technician-level staff included in research cruises (on the job training—exposure to other tasks)
- Peer exchange (lateral transfer)
- Mentoring
- Student internships (w/ NGO or govt agency)
- Job placement services-entry level jobs made available
- Cohort of staff that together take various training modules/linked to practical experience at their work
- University courses toward a degree
- Development of new degree program in management (w/ UOG, USP, UH, Community College) – intensive classes that aren’t semester-long, remote classes; on the job work would count toward credit
- Developing trainers (train the trainers)
- List of experts to be contacted
Logistics:

- Survey of who has what needs and how those needs can be best filled (from our list of needs and tools)—should be done quickly before CRTF meeting
- For needs identified by many, assign dedicated, funded lead to develop appropriate tools (PIMPAC Skills Building Coordinator with budget for travel, contracting consultants, workshops, etc.)
- Consider sustainability of these PIMPAC “training modules” by improving local higher learning institutions (e.g., finding funding to support additional staff and programs).
- Equitable distribution of funds so that those with priority needs that are not needed by multiple parties still get their needs addressed.
- Contractor to develop degree program with appropriate academic institutions (meets criteria listed above). May need fund raising component. May coordinate with PIPIC program. Look at existing models.

Budget:

- Training coordinator salary & travel budget and seed money for exchanges/trainings: $150 K
- Secretariat of the Pacific Community (SPC) and Secretariat of the Pacific Regional Environment Program (SPREP) as potential additional donors.
- Degree program contract: $50-80K

Comments:

- Need to ID trainees to know where/how to target training/activity beyond the survey (data base)
- Each staff member of each org could do an org level assessment of their staffs’ needs.
- Consider other training needs not listed here that already exist, sponsored by others – make use of what exists (decide what PIMPAC does after the assessment of need and inventory of what exists)
- An assessment of what the universities/colleges already have and are willing to consider offering
- Marketing approach to securing buy-in from universities

3.5 Notes from the ‘Building Partnerships’ Working Group (Day Three)

A bit more detail:

a. Academic capacity and management capacity
b. Academic institutions can be instrumental partners – UoG, USP, College of the Marshall Islands (certificate program for marine conservation), Palau Community College, College of the Northern Marianas, American Samoa Community College, College of Micronesia/FSM, Guam Community College, University of Hawaii, James Cook/AIMS,
c. Training - LMMA University (still being developed, based on USP model – modules for project design, community involvement, curriculum – building long term capacity in region)
d. Fiji LMMA – Grad students work to solve emerging issues
e. Other Organizations (gov., int’l., etc) – SPREP, SPC, FAO, SOPAC, NOAA, EPA, USDA (Modular Programs), Rotary and other community organizations, other countries (Australia, Japan, New Zealand, Korea, India, France, EU, Spain), WPFNC, UNESCO, WWF
f. Look to industry (oil, transportation (air/sea)) for opportunities too

Next Steps:

a. Academic: (1) Identify existing education and training opportunities out there and gaps/needs; and (2) ID scholarships;
b. Management: ID orgs and needs and gaps
c. Business: ID orgs, opportunities and gaps
d. International: (1) Orgs, (2) Countries
e. Media: Radio, newspapers (Pacific Daily News), magazines, TV
f. Science (group #3 is working on this - hopefully)
g. Define attributes of an MPA manager (Willy – how did he do it?) – Manager Survey (better grounding in own culture – protocols, cultural partnerships, traditional management, spiritual
h. Learning about traditional/western styles of management – what are successes, how to help sustain good cultural practices
i. Certification for best practices = management strategy

Details, notes, ideas:

a. Institutional directory (include cultural component – School of Humanities at USP)
b. ID local cultural resources – Bishop Museum, Micronesian Area Resource Center at UoG)
c. Get more info on FLMMA/USP program and possibly use as a model
d. Gather info from all academic institutions to see what their strengths are and what gaps exist
e. Work with universities to develop training opportunities/ certificate courses (online follow-up, 2 weeks)
f. Compile training program directory
g. Compile list of regional resources (financial, grants, scholarships) – to identify what exists and what gaps could be filled – develop strategies to address these

Leadership:

- Planning group to develop strategic plan and consider short term-value added

Costs:
- Web maintenance
- Travel for exchanges
- Upgrade for infrastructure so everyone can access website
- Intern/fellow/in kind support to develop directories
- In 5 years, support for someone to develop training modules
- Possible support for people to travel to meet about regional projects as needed

**Communication:**

- PIMPAC website, PIMPAC poster, PIMPAC pamphlets, PIMPAC directories – all to be widely distributed, text only versions
- cross site visits and exchanges, internships

**Culture/climate/trust:**

- Be inclusive and trust will be built
- Be humble

**Comments:**

- Consider using MIC and MAREPAC as possible models of ways to strengthen partnerships; and as conduits for getting info for exchanges
- Lots of overlap with skills training group plans

### 3.6 Notes from the ‘Information Sharing’ Working Group (Day Three)

Information sharing – bridging science and management.

**A bit more detail:**

- Database on sites/topics/people – allow the community to search through management and science activities occurring in the region and resources we can pull from. Use of database will facilitate the goal of information sharing and bridging science and management
- Website with CD version available yearly
- State of the art links – key search words suggested KISS, make easy to use
- Include partners – TNC, LMMA, etc.
- Make it clean and compact – quick to access for people
- Help to guide people through technical resources available on web

**The proposed database:**

- How to organize – site, individuals working with sites:
  1.) MPA Sites
  2.) Professional skills
  3.) Discrete topics / subjects (e.g., sort/search for seagrass monitoring)
- Possible fields of information for inclusion:
1. MPA Name  
2. Island  
3. Agency  
4. Key individuals  
5. Contact information – with preferred method identified  
6. Ability to sort by knowledge/experience – very specific categories and searchable  
7. Category: list of strengths and experiences, noteworthy skills on-site: (a) Training; (b) Enforcement; (c) Monitoring; (d) GIS/Modeling; (e) Education / outreach  
8. Category: MPA site characteristics: (a) Type; (b) Purpose; (c) Local/Traditional management; (d) Management plan existence/status (possible link to plan); (e) Effectiveness monitoring; (f) Unique characteristics – ex. Resiliency built in; (g) Level of scientific basis; (h) Resiliency; (i) Network; (j) Community-based.  
   ▪ Importance of links to website with PDFs  
   ▪ Links to important sites/events – cutting edge current topics  

Key design aspects:  
   ▪ Appropriate search capabilities and simple user interface  
   ▪ Packable on CD for web challenged  

Next Steps:  
   ▪ Find an entity with the technical background that can accommodate the creation of this site  
   ▪ Steering committee decides on format, entries, basic organization – draft design by Palau task force meeting for discussion, talk to web designers: (1) finalize forms; (2) get data; (3) organize; and (4) schedule implementation.  

Leadership/Implementation:  
   ▪ Find someone with experience to design the initial database and user interface  
   ▪ Options: (a) NOAA – PSC, CSC; (b) DOI; (c) UOG; (d) UH?  

Costs:  
   ▪ Moderate but dependent on functionality  
   ▪ Try to keep costs down by simplifying  

Communication:  
   ▪ Web  
   ▪ CD distribution plan  
   ▪ Talk about at regional meetings – market it  

Culture/Climate:
- Use plain English that site managers can understand – jargon free
- Simple
- Accessible – web or CD
- No frills – keep it from becoming unreasonable
- Technical guidance – HELP cues

Comments:

- Include link to publications re: effectiveness of your MPA
- Address existing inventories
- Include the ability to post a question for info not already in the database (message board?)
- Listserve? For questions needing immediate attention and general info sharing

3.7 Notes from the ‘Regional Learning Network’ Working Group (Day Three)

A regional learning network would promote the exchange of knowledge, skills, lessons, and experiences by creating a regional learning network focused on peer-to-peer learning. This approach will build partnerships and learn from the experience of other successful efforts in other parts of the Pacific.

1. **Details:** This exchange would take place through

   a. A website containing a PIMPAC member directory with project and contact information (hard copy also available)
   b. a PIMPAC Listserv
   c. site visits which may lead to opportunities for cross-trainings, larger projects

2. **Next steps:**

   a. Need a coordinator - to gather information
   b. Collect the information from all PIMPAC participants (what they bring to the group, needs, interests) (Country POC’s give info to coordinator?)
   c. A website – paid person to develop this (part of existing planned website?)
   d. Develop a Listserv (PIMPAC@noaa.gov), get people to subscribe
   e. Investigate possibility of PIMPAC providing funding for site visits. People would be expected to document and report on experiences and lessons learned, helping to internally promote the benefits of the PIMPAC community.

3. **Leadership:**

   a. Federal coordinator – to annually gather data and make it available on website/directory
   b. Match maker – person to make the connections, “push” people together so not relying on people responding individually.

4. **Costs:** Website development, staff time, conference line, site visit funds?
5. **Communication**: Dial-in numbers available for conference calling.

6. **Culture/climate/trust**: The PIMPAC group doesn’t exclude anyone and is open for anyone to tap into (within currently represented countries). Need commitment of group members to pass requests for assistance/information on to their contacts. We’ve started to develop that trust. Veikila might be able to make matches, make connections.

### 3.8 Results from the Group Visioning Exercise (Day One)

The group engaged in a creative co-creation of a *preferred future*, a future where past challenges are overcome and dreams do come true. This preferred future was used by the group to elevate their aspirations and motivate their commitment to constructive action.

Our Preferred Future included the following:

1. Integration: real integrated effort
2. Rules/regulations self-enforced
3. Shared experiences
4. Healthy thriving coral reefs
5. People pay for all externalities for products – we all pay for conservation
6. Everything achieved with aloha/love
7. A boat that does it all (carries many people, safe, consumes less fuel, breaks down only upon arrival into port)
8. Viable economic alternative sources of income
9. Its everyone’s problem
10. Rich friends
11. They teach conservation in China (most populous nation)
12. End our dependence on oil
13. Lots of big fish
14. Leaders
15. Equitable access to resources
16. Fully-functioning high speed communications network
17. Capacity-building that works
18. Rewind the past
19. Sea care begins with land care
20. Staff to facilitate this network – well resourced
21. Children education regarding why this matters
22. All MPAs to be run/stewarded by indigenous people
23. Money without interference
24. Ability for more exchanges
25. Elected leaders who care about more than being re-elected
26. Every child is planned and wanted
27. Respect for the different cultures
28. No more world hunger
29. No need for money anymore
30. Pacific solution that will save the world
31. Bio-degrading garbage
32. Every MPA has sustainable funding for 20 years; or perpetually
33. All the world is an MPA
34. Stem sea-level rise, save low-lying islands and atolls

3.8 Acknowledging Group Expectations (Day One)

We began the workshop with an exploration of our individual hopes and fears. Not only for the efforts we all engage in as we implement our individual MPA programs but explicitly for the workshop effort itself. It served as a means of grounding all the participants into the moment at hand and provided a much needed start for the sharing of information regarding motivation and candor. A summary of their responses is shared below.

Why I came to this workshop is to…

- Listen, learn
- Meet more people in region
- Support regional needs and goals
- Meghan asked and it was paid for – find ways I can enhance my credibility while working with our communities to implement conservation efforts
- To learn about efforts in other islands and to contribute to the development of PIMPAC
- To participate in the process
- To present ONMS PI region to the greater Pacific region
- To explore opportunities and possible collaborations, partnerships and projects
- To help organize and see that people in the islands have access to people who can help them so they can make a difference
- to learn from other participants – what has and what hasn’t worked
- to see if a network can be built
- to learn about issues and challenges other areas are facing
- to learn from other participants experience and HOPEFULLY contribute to the establishment of the community
- to learn about MPA and bring back to my island and apply it
- part of my job that I truly love
- to learn from others
- to help advance marine management in the pacific and part of steering committee
- to learn from evaluating others experiences in MPA establishment
- learn about PIMPAC
- to contribute my experience of working in networks especially their challenges and benefits
- to learn about opportunities to support a regional effort
- Veikela invited me!
- to learn as much as possible about MPAs and as much about other pacific islanders and their issues with their native peoples
- to learn from others and begin to network and share lessons
- interested in the possibility of a network
to learn and obtain knowledge as well as help other agencies
Trina and Meghan were very persistent
To meet old friends and make new ones
To get away from work and recharge

My hopes are…

- Gain better understanding of how we can collectively (or not) support MPA mgrs to make real effects on improving mgmt w/o taxing them too much
- Better techniques for MPA mgmt
- Tackle transboundary issues (e.g. sea turtles, marine mammals, seabirds, coral, fish larval sinks and sources)
- Create broader network/community to increase conservation efforts in region
- w/ broader support, move forward across tough issues/previous barriers
- clear guidance from a regional and jurisdictional perspective of what specifically will work to help mgmt of resources and where NOAA can help
- hear discussion on the round table about experiences in native countries on MPA work (weakness/strengths) with native communities
- what other approach is there when introducing mgmt regimes to village communities
- how can AS benefit from a community such as this
- participation will gain greater understanding of the rewards and challenges of establishing MPAs in the Pacific
- Successes and failures will be discussed openly for the benefit of all
- Networking, sharing of ideas
- Finding solutions
- To establish effective working relationships throughout the PI region in an effort to support and expand marine conservation
- I hope this community can help us in our quest to create a network of MPAs in AS, specifically, threat means providing opportunities to forge relationships and be involved with cross-visits and exchanges. Also, I hope this community can help us to build the capacity of local AS to manage their resources by being able to comfortably communicate with other islanders that they have things in common with such as a local land and sea tenure system; strong cultural connection to family and marine resources
- That people will be energized and motivated to network and carry out implementation in their own countries
- To feel that they are not isolated and their problems are not unique to themselves and other people are experiencing the same problems
- Gain networking opportunities – get to know folks doing similar work in the pacific
- Being able to make mgmt and policy decisions based on, among other things, peoples experiences in other pacific islands
- Combining resources to expand our capacities (by sharing)
- Having built relationships so I would be able to ask someone in the “community” to acrt as an outside consultant on a particular issue
- To be able to work together in country (Yap) with help from outside
- to be in a position to learn more about marine resources and their stewardship
• widespread buy in for the concept of MPA and their value as management tool
• learn about local attitudes and values toward marine conservation
• develop momentum sufficient to see cooperation, implementation at the local and regional level
• collaboratively design a realistic program of assistance that meets the needs of MPA managers, resource managers, local communities, and stakeholders
• provide tangible benefits and capacity building for agencies, including present and future managers
• Help direct efforts to support MPAs in the future
• to understand the process of engaging in MPA designation, particularly what engagement strategies that partners adapt
• to understand how to engage community members in MPA establishment
• share some success and challenges from my project in Fiji
• come up with some very concrete actions that can benefit all participants and advance MPAs
• I’m a true believer in regional collaboration! With a major sponsor, this could be the group to bring about a learning/sharing network for the region.
• a regional effort or network may be attractive to international donors for support
• increased collaboration to show what works and what doesn’t
• provide benefit to my government through management of the conservation program
• to be able to establish the community that is able to assist us address the problems of creating, implementing, and filling the gaps needed
• become part of the network that actively pursues the needs from local communities
• to hear what other areas have done regarding these issues and challenges and see if it can apply to my area
• establish a network to communicate with others regarding issues and challenges
• to gain a new outlook on the challenges with MPA management
• to network/make connections with managers
• sharing needs among jurisdictions
• to see how this effort connect the concept of traditional conservation into the concepts of MPA
• how would this effort and concept consider MPA along with public awareness effort
• to become part of this network
• want this network to be effectively practiced in Chuuk
• to learn from participants
• develop a useful network of managers to learn and share ideas about MPAs
• a commitment to keep up the sharing and conversation
• to visit and learn from each other
• to develop a strong islands voice for our needs in resource management
• strengthening/creating relationships throughout the Pacific so we can learn from each others successes and failures
• filling gaps that exist in all that goes into planning, designing, implementing, and maintaining MPAs and other effective management of marine resources
• to understand the PIMPAC concept and help chart its course
• hope to share our experiences in Pohnpei and to learn about other experiences
• this would be the beginning of something bigger than just us, which could shape the way conservation and collaboration is done in the world

My fears are…

• That we will not figure out a way that we can support mgrs that makes it worth their time
• This will develop into yet another organization that travels a lot but accomplishes little
• Follow up – momentum built here not captured afterwards
• People not wanting to work together afterwards
• Another meeting with incredible people w/ no concrete deliverables
• NOAA dominated process
• Finding out there’s nothing that can be done to improves my own conservation efforts
• MPAs could be/have been politicized and consequently their long term value may be lost
• Public education
• Enforcement is not consistent (in Guam, at least)
• MPA can work for or against indigenous people
• MPA may not apply to others (tourists, businesses, etc.) Tumon MPA
• That we will all agree to a collaboration that will fail through ineffective follow through
• My fear is that the effort may not follow thru due to uncertainty in long term funding
• Another concern is that the community may involve so many partners that is may be difficult to pair up the right partners for important learning and discussions (e.g., discussions may focus on things relevant to some, but irrelevant to others and may therefore take up valuable time)
• That people will not open up to have meaningful and deep conversation
• That implementation will only be talk rather than action
• Putting a lot of planning effort into this and having it not materialize, not be used
• the interest for networking will be difficult to continue or maintain after the workshop
• no agreeable solution from hearing what others have done that can be applied to my area
• Bureaucracy!!
• a network or community might end up dictating an agenda that might be nearly impossible to achieve, especially at the community levels
• that this will not be acceptable to my country because my government officials may have different ways or approaches to the marine conservation adverse to mine
• creation of an organization that could become ‘just another organization’
• that each island must determine exactly what they need and expect out of the network thereby giving support agency/sponsors/donors an idea how to mobilize the resources needed for the region
• PIMPAC will be just another regional organization that spends money, lots of money on traveling and gets very little effect, or help to the people/organizations on the ground
• that funding limitations may restrict our ability to go as far as we would like
• not be in a position to formalize any commitments to the organization I represent
• re-inventing the wheel for ongoing initiatives in Pacific Islands
• if consensus isn’t reached due to issues not fully understood, misunderstood, or if we attempt to do too much
• that networking and community building is sometimes a difficult activity, especially across great distance; hence we need to think very carefully about our collective expectations and the approaches and design of the program.
• Lack of follow through
• cultural/traditional differences that make networking challenging
• outsiders using us to do what they want
• too many agency agendas
• too much ‘fear’ about MPAs without understanding the appropriate uses of this management tool
• not enough resources to keep this effort going once initiated
• that it will be another layer of reporting and will divert valuable resources needed more locally
• that it will fall apart
• that it will divert larger resources from other efforts that support our efforts
• if this network could provide effective support to the marine resources
• I have no fears!
• this is not contentious, but a fear would possibly be that I leave this place without learning a new thing to take back home
• overlap of efforts
• spreading already stretched people/organizations even thinner
• use of resources in an ineffective way (i.e., funding, manpower, technical expertise)
• how to involve non-US affiliates
• how this and the LMMA network are going to co-exist; when to collaborate and when to get out of each others way
• that islanders start depending too much on PIMPAC and start pushing over problems on PIMPAC and the larger/more resourced PIMPAC members

3.9 Acknowledging Obstacles to Our Progress (Day One)

The group recognized that past efforts at regional coordination have struggled due to undeniable history, politics and patterns of operating and interacting. Each participant was given the opportunity to privately express their perceptions on this matter and then, regarding each impediment, to offer a possibility for overcoming these historical and behavioral challenges. A complete accounting of the privately written comments is found below.

Possibilities for Overcoming History, Politics and Patterns Impeding Past Efforts

Historical PATTERNs that might impede our progress
Ways to overcome these patterns i.e. SOLUTIONs

• PATTERN :Communications at the Network Level
  • SOLUTION: Need to institutionalize network and have a contact or coordinator to be responsible
• PATTERN : Sustainability of Funding  
  SOLUTION: Having multi year funding plan from donors

• PATTERN : Traditional knowledge and Practices-  
  SOLUTION: Value community involvement and respect traditional knowledge and practices to aid with modern scientific experiences

• PATTERN: Implementation of Plans  
  SOLUTION: Engage community at any intervention, assess site visit. Participatory approach in implementing action plans

• PATTERN: Leadership in my island all have the same self interest  
  SOLUTION: educating our people to be broad minded and not to choose relatives who don’t understand the need to develop and improve the islands.

  SOLUTION: So be broad minded not to hold on to the old ways- Encourage all the young people to have good education.

• PATTERN : Off Island Managers who don’t or won’t understand local problems  
  SOLUTION: other than new people, I don’t know.
• PATTERN : Findings going to pet projects not where needed-  
  SOLUTION: different priorities

• PATTERN : Inability for agencies to give up their own jurisdictional authorities and work more collaboratively  
  SOLUTION: getting agreements to look beyond boundaries at the needs of resources and communities

• PATTERN : Past experiences in setting aside MPAS that were overrun by tourists  
  SOLUTION: Developing good mechanisms to measure carrying capacity and limit access setting aside areas where “fishing” is the primary use.

• PATTERN: Inability to prove the effectiveness of MPAS and their benefits to the stakeholders who feel displaced  
  SOLUTION: New methods ( simple and straight forward) to measure effectiveness-  
  SOLUTION: Developing the right language and communication tools to talk to the stakeholders

• PATTERN : Lack of communication, distribution of resources  
  SOLUTION: Improve networking , working with budgets used on need

• PATTERN : Changes in leadership  
  SOLUTION: Set programs that will provide continuity during such changes
• PATTERN: Lack of participation or lack of buy in by resource personnel
  SOLUTION: develop relationship that needs investment

• PATTERN: Distrust of Federal intervention in local politics by federal agencies –
  SOLUTION: clearer communication and expectations. Follow through on accountable
  actions and integrity

• PATTERN: Competition for resources and “ rewards” at all levels, local agencies,
  regional political entities, federal agencies and between these levels –
  SOLUTION: Changing human nature. Recognizing that these needs are real and will not
  change
  SOLUTION: Find ways to satisfy without exacerbating the behavior.

• PATTERN: Lack of follow through
  SOLUTION: Feeding of processes that will bring short-term successes that will build
  longer term viability

• PATTERN: High turnover of staff so lack of institutional history
  SOLUTION: Strengthening organizations, institutions so pay is competitive, offering
  career advancement etc. monitoring junior staff to take over

• PATTERN: Lack of accountability-
  SOLUTION: Building in mechanisms for reporting back, tracking finances and training

• PATTERN: Lack of follow up after initial effort i.e. hold an workshop, leave and never
  check in –
  SOLUTION: Build follow up visits etc. in budgets for workshops, schedule, regular calls.

• PATTERN: Lack of skilled/trained staff or resources to pay qualified people resulting in
  brain drain to other places –
  SOLUTION: Put in place sustainable financing mechanisms eg. MCT and work with
  colleges/universities to create curricula for resource management

• PATTERN: Lack of political Will to support local conservation efforts-
  SOLUTION: Create specific campaigns

• PATTERN: Infrastructure needs
  SOLUTIONS : Identify and allow current funding to support purchases and infrastructure

• PATTERNS: Control of limited resources
  SOLUTION: Choose and train leaders

• PATTERNS: People have different reactions to MPAS
  SOLUTIONS: Listen and understand about what others mean by certain terms, define
  terms
• PATTERN: Political pressure  
  SOLUTION: work towards a common agenda

• PATTERN: bad coordination and leadership  
  SOLUTION: change and planning

• PATTERN: bureaucracy  
  SOLUTION: minimize red tape

• PATTERN: national support, local support, cultural support  
  SOLUTION: campaign, education/awareness

• PATTERN: financial support  
  SOLUTION: strategic planning

• PATTERN: colonization of the islands  
  SOLUTION: empowerment of people in the islands that we can do all things despite being downtrodden

• PATTERN: very little resources  
  SOLUTION: we can build from the little resources we have just like we build houses. They can be done in stages

• PATTERN: too difficult to do anything because of poor attitude  
  SOLUTION: seeing things positively and dwell on what is possible instead of what is not possible. And do little things one at a time

• PATTERN: island style of laid back attitude towards doing things  
  SOLUTION: taking responsibility and trying to plan instead of doing things ad hoc. We have to look at ‘win win situation.’ Culture changes and we also can change to bring about good for the society

• PATTERN: gender and looking down at certain gender and not fully participate the different levels that exist in society  
  SOLUTION: we can actively try to involve all sectors of society for the benefit of all. If we have a missing link then the society can not stand strong. Be aware of that missing link.

• PATTERN: colonization, government support, local support, corruption.  
  SOLUTION: we can overcome the se challenges by understanding the locals needs and providing the government and other resources organizations about the needs for support. We also need to motivate the locals to understand what is going on in their marine environment. Political corruption in the pacific region is very much needed to be stopped
• PATTERN: commercial fishing interests
  • SOLUTION: convince people of commodity of needs

• PATTERN: commercial interests in general
  • SOLUTION: allocate resource in a fair and transparent manner

• PATTERN: perceptions of being marginalized
  • SOLUTION: provide to each according to needs and aspirations

• PATTERN: inequitable resource and capacity; buy in of decision makers not a priority
  • SOLUTION: empowering community and bottoms up approach

• PATTERN: lack of knowledge for the management of the program; lack of funding to get program going; and the government officials do not consider the program a top priority in the flux of everyday life
  • SOLUTION: involving the government officials such as the Governor, President, and members of the legislative branch; get staff capacity development programs for managers and staffs; get funding from the resource agency

• PATTERN: it could be that maybe these are limitations of the leaders not to understand the value of environmental conservation and protection. They don’t value as the other things in government. The leaders will be as Governor or the environments owners and managers. Also, the limited awareness of the environment values at he community level. Also the distribution of the islands in any given area also needs to be considered.
  • SOLUTION: more public awareness to the grassroots level; educate them on the values and importance of the marine environment and resources. More awareness will be launched to facilitate more information so grassroots are more familiar and educated to facilitate more motivations and guidance for moving forward and alleviate negative thinking and initiatives.

• PATTERN: Jaluit Atoll traditional leaders shared ideas and disseminated the information how to manage and preserve and conserve the protected areas within the entire atoll. Also, meet the decision makers for further information on the proposed project.
  • SOLUTION: Promoting the knowledge of managing the areas in modern technology. On the other hand, give assistance in funding the project for another year to come. In addition, asking SPREP sending funds.

• PATTERN: History of racism, disfranchisement and oppression.
  • SOLUTION: Be open about presence and effects of racism and it’s legacy. Recognize and accept burden/responsibility. Learn/educate facts of past actions.

• PATTERN: Socioeconomic inequity and inequality.
  • SOLUTION: recognize and make deliberate effort to share resources equitably ad transparently.
• PATTERN: US politics and current political administration positions on environmental issues.
  SOLUTION: wait until next election cycle and get involved. Work w/ the enemy, find and build win-win situations.

• PATTERN: Anger, resentment, despair and hopelessness from peoples and communities who have been marginalized socio-politically and economically.
  SOLUTION: allow time for people to express their feelings openly in a safe environment. Outreach with disadvantaged regularly and engage them in empowerment activities. Make amends, forgive, let go (a bit).

• PATTERN: Difficult history and imperfect performance/delivery of federal government promises.
  SOLUTION: Be explicit with fed agencies of what is expected and needed. Demand effectiveness evaluation and transparency of federal efforts with elected officials.

• PATTERN: Unrealistic expectations.
  SOLUTION: Be explicit and clear about what can and cannot be expected.

• PATTERN: Culture of welfare and dependency breeds loss of self-sufficiency and pride/respect.
  SOLUTION: Recognize and build into all management activities. Engage and request local investment.

• PATTERN: Communications difficulty and the limited time that people have face to face make it extremely difficult to follow up on ideas, actions and the best way to help others.
  SOLUTION: More productive encounters with each other. Be on same page as much as possible on key issues. Individualized MPA support programs.

• PATTERN: History, especially unjust/unfair actions can get in the way of present efforts to protect and manage a site. For example, with one area and 2 resource owners jurisdiction/territory struggles have made regional assistance limited to the resource owner most active but doesn’t cover the entire area (resources and problems).
  SOLUTION: Therefore, I believe that this challenge can be overcome by time and through recognition of a common goal, w/ benefits that can be equitably distributed. Plus new staff or managers to do the work, yet not disregarding this history.

• PATTERN: Politics that was favorable before can change with elected officials who will use personal bias to go against efforts of the MPA management because the manager supports another office.
  SOLUTION: This is something I believe can be overcome if management efforts are supported by regional agencies and NGOs. However, the politics is something how to overcome.

• PATTERN: Greed.
• PATTERN: Individual behavior(?) vs. community
• PATTERN: Money corrupts
• PATTERN: the sea will provide – not really now the case.
• PATTERN: Lack of awareness.
• PATTERN: Relaxed cultures.
• PATTERN: Traditional cultures.
• PATTERN: Adopting a foreign concept called democracy. Already had sharing and equal (?).
• PATTERN: Requirements that take valuable resources away from the work on the ground.
  SOLUTION: Fewer reporting requirements. Make required meeting a valuable use of time.
• PATTERN: Not sharing data in a timely manner (help from regional agencies, but don’t receive results).
  SOLUTION: Don’t just keep collecting data – analyze and disseminate as well.
• PATTERN: Unequal sharing of regional resource (this has gotten better, but still needs improvement).
  SOLUTION: Consider other funding/resources available to a territory/state when disseminating funds.
• PATTERN: Mixed political messages – support except when it conflicts with another pet issue.
  SOLUTION: Make there resources a priority and continue to support them – don’t waver when a threat approaches.
• PATTERN: Support in front of certain stakeholders, but undermine support by criticizing efforts in front of over stakeholder groups. (e.g., Support MPAs in coral reef conservation meetings/events, but suggest that are not the right answers when talking to fishermen.)
  SOLUTION: Take a stance and stick with it – maintain credibility with all groups by making an informed decision and sticking with it – in from of all audiences.
• PATTERN: Some agencies/organizations have a stronger voice than others and push resources/decisions against better judgment of other players.
• SOLUTION: Pay more attention to the needs of other perhaps weaker or less vocal entities – diversity of opinion should be valued.

• PATTERN: Inability of organizations to collaborate on issues that overlap (territory/turf battles).
• SOLUTION: Emphasize team aspect of addressing common issues and work together (from start) on emerging issues.

• PATTERN: Follow-up/lack of clear leadership may inhibit implementation.
• SOLUTION: Define leadership before end of workshop (and next steps, too).

• PATTERN: Communication pathways: people don’t have consistent internet (or don’t use) connection; can’t make long distance phone calls.
• SOLUTION: It’s a tough one…give everyone international phone cards? Build local capacity for high-speed internet? Styrofoam and really long string??

• PATTERN: Some within local agencies refuse to (a) admit there’s a problem or (b) want to deal with it their way, without outside help.
• SOLUTION: Show them by example benefits of a MPA-community? Replace them with team players?

• PATTERN: People who are given the opportunities to do learning exchanges do not use them.
• SOLUTION: Identifying those within the group that can provide those services and make connections with those who need those services.

• PATTERN: People from the same islands go home and stop communicating with each other.
• SOLUTION: By having someone within the network (coordinator) visit the island or call the island to follow up on the work.

• PATTERN: Resource agencies or donors are not willing to say exactly how much they can bring to the table.
• SOLUTION: Making the information available to other members within the network.

• PATTERN: A result that is not followed up on and therefore had experiences.
• SOLUTION: Making sure we leave with commitment to follow up and have some kind of built in mechanism to make sure it is happening.

• PATTERN: Leaving without a clear idea of who and how this will lead.
• SOLUTION: Be sure to create a clear leadership of this.

• PATTERN: Lack of resources or partners with resources to make them successful.
• SOLUTION: Utilize some of this to identify how we will pursue more resources to continue this project.
• PATTERN: Difficulty in effectively communicating so that people are engaged and still motivated but not taxed.
• SOLUTION: Identifying a means or process for communicating that is consistent/known and does not burden managers.

• PATTERN: Capacity comes from the north.
• SOLUTION: Lateral skills building exchange.

• PATTERN: Training is enough.
• SOLUTION: Skills building team and follow up.

• PATTERN: Some islands are U.S., some aren’t.
• SOLUTION: No solution.

• PATTERN: MPAs are for ecosystem protection.
• SOLUTION: Make fishing focused MMAs – i.e., teach how to do this.

• PATTERN: Recreation is considered to be an acceptable use everywhere, but its not
• SOLUTION: Consider no go zones.

• PATTERN: Enforcement issues – lack of manpower and funding for enforcement.
• SOLUTION: Education and outreach – conducting presentation or meetings about the status of MPA monitoring, why we have MPAs, etc. Explaining to individuals about MPAs.

• PATTERN: Community issues: (1) Cultural issues – as an indigenous person why can’t I fish within an MPA. (2) Fish are getting aggressive, or there are more fish – we should open up the MPA and catch them. (3) There are no more areas to fish – we want to fish in the MPA.
• SOLUTION: More stable funding for enforcement for equipment, supplies and manpower. Involves coordinating with Federal and local government to resolve this issue.

• PATTERN: Political: If not elected, I will open up the MPA for fishing.
• No solution.

• PATTERN: Unsustainable funding support
• Solution:
• Working together as a group or to create a network that could pass their funding information needed for particular projects

• PATTERN: Change of Administration
• Key individuals/land owners
• SOLUTION: Work with different government agencies and other local groups to establish good working relationships

• PATTERN: Less community participation
• SOLUTION:

• PATTERN: Less awareness/educational programs
• SOLUTION: Implementation of environmental awareness programs should not stop. Make it an on-going program within different communities, schools, and local organizations or groups

• PATTERN: Lack of Enforcement
• SOLUTION:

• PATTERN: Donor driven activity
• SOLUTION:

• PATTERN: Community live with the resources that we tried to protect since they are using these for such a long time
• SOLUTION: Implement public education and public awareness so that they can have sustainable use of the natural resource they have

• PATTERN: Policy people had come out with some legislation that is conflict? To the set up of our conservation areas
• SOLUTION: Enacted laws that will put more enforcement and guide community wise use of the resources

• PATTERN: Funding
• SOLUTION: Get more funding from other agencies

• PATTERN: Funding agency of SPREP discontinue support of MPA
• SOLUTION: Gaining access to SPREP or knowledge on project proposal

• PATTERN: Consultation to community not recognized by government officials
• SOLUTION: Respect the municipal level government and community group

• PATTERN: Duplication of function or no clear understanding who is doing what between agencies
• SOLUTION: Regulation personnel office to classify accordingly

• PATTERN: Enforcement of MPA starts at 8am stops at 3pm. Prime time to do illegal activity may be from 4 pm to 11pm or after government working hours
• SOLUTION: Collaboration with the AG’s office to enable fish officer work with police w/ night differential or OTs & enlighten our upper management to authorize officers to work during unusual hours.
• PATTERN: When establishing the protected area we have we were able to get some funding from and outside donor. Funding ceased after awhile we don’t have funds to keep this thing going.
• SOLUTION: If we implement or establish an MPA we will just have to work with grassroots and secure funding to keep operation or management going.

• PATTERN: Resource users or land owners were not really consulted on the said project. Government went in and declared the site as a protected area without really consulting the community.
• SOLUTION: Involve the grassroots in the planning process.

• PATTERN: Frequent change of lead agencies/department. Assignments are given to more than one agency/departments (duplication of work).
• SOLUTION: Assign project? Role to appropriate agency/department.

• PATTERN: Lack of collaboration
• SOLUTION: Work in collaboratively with agencies/departments that are linked to the project.

• PATTERN: Unequal distribution of funds
• SOLUTION: Once the grassroots or the people consented to the project, secure it by asking the state or the municipal gov’ts to make law to really secure the project.

• PATTERN: Lack of communication
• SOLUTION: Start listening to what smaller islands need.

• PATTERN: Out of sight, out of mind (distant islands being forgotten – lack of follow through).

• PATTERN: Some of our MPA conservation needs depend on a network of MPAs that include neighboring but not foreign countries, but DOI prohibits foreign travel.
• SOLUTION: Elect a new president.

• PATTERN: Global warming which continues to make things worse “worse” (eg coral mortality).

• PATTERN: Lack of Political will to implement and enforce environmental issues.

• PATTERN: Capacity building is thwarted by hiring processes that give more weight to who you know rather than what you know.

• PATTERN: US areas and focus vs International area and focus
• Therefore, Pushing the limits of international collaboration.
• PATTERN: Efforts that have started and fallen by the wayside
  SOLUTION: Get the leadership from both faces and old, experienced people

• PATTERN: Distrust of federal, outside and mainland etc attempts to support
  SOLUTION: Trust, plus clearly specifying what managers both want and don’t want.

• PATTERN: Turf wars within jurisdictions
  SOLUTION: Work together

• PATTERN: Unclear goals/unrealistic
  SOLUTION: Respect each other

• PATTERN: Forced support by outside interests
  SOLUTION: Needs and define approach to accomplish needs

• PATTERN: No sharing of resources or knowledge; experts do not leave expertise on islands
  SOLUTION: Make whenever possible that the goal of visiting experts is to leave knowledge in someone local.

• PATTERN: Unrecognized responsibility

• PATTERN: Unable to accomplish

• PATTERN: Unclear who leads, Turnover, bureaucracy
  SOLUTION: Define how support will be provided and not; Define approach to be used to accomplish goals

• PATTERN: Overlapping jurisdiction
  SOLUTION: Identifying relevant jurisdiction and gaps

• PATTERN: Personal conflict of interest
  SOLUTION: “Grow-up”

• PATTERN: Buy in (lack thereof)
  SOLUTION: Take them on a glass bottom boat ride (haha!)

• PATTERN: Apathy
  SOLUTION: Any ideas?

• PATTERN: Prioritization – not being on the priority list
  SOLUTION: Prioritize
PATTERN: Too many uniformed managers dictating TO the lead resource agencies how to do their job
SOLUTION: Allowing the lead resource agency to build there capacity. And trying that they are capable in doing a good job

PATTERN: Unrealistic demands and deadlines
SOLUTION: Better communication; find new funding

PATTERN: Politicians only interested in deadlines and report and not final outcomes
SOLUTION: Ignore the deadline and write a good report and may end up providing a favorable outcome

PATTERN: Lack of political will to help push their MPA agenda
SOLUTION: Build political will through trainings and ally building

PATTERN: Some Gov workers not interested in giving the public the opportunity to participate in the management of resources
SOLUTION: Go ahead and give the public an opportunity to participate. Ignore the other govt. workers on this one.

3.10 What’s Possible from Here? (Day Four)

As the meeting concluded, after all agreements were reached and all objectives accomplished, each participant was asked to share openly, in their own words, their response to the question “What seems possible now that didn’t seem so possible on day one of this meeting?” or some responded to the question “What are you taking away from here?” Verbal comments were captured as they spoke and are presented here.

• I’m intrigued with the push and the pull – to watch it unfold.
• The concept of sharing needs and strengths is an important tool. It has opened the door for us to know each other. To collaborate more.
• The possibility of partnerships, sharing strengths and needs. It’s exciting.
• Lots of possibilities. Good people here. This is a high level group from Fed sitting with Managers. This has never been done before. Lots can happen if we deliver on our plans.
• Humbled by the knowledge in this room. A lot is here for me and my islands. We are making a commitment to each other, not just PIMPAC.
• It’s now possible for me to pick up the phone and make connections that didn’t exist before. I want to leave here and spread the word back in my country.
• I want to thank the sponsors for bringing the neighboring countries here for the first time. Thankful for meeting my mentors, Willy and Noah. Grateful to know more of other pacific island countries.
• Lots of possibilities now for helping our island and sharing information.
• I leave here with a basket full of knowledge to my country, and take action to get going.
• Maybe down the road there is a chance for a regional MPA.
• Impressed with who came to this group. That it will continue.
• I’m glad I came.
• I came with no expectations. But having made these contacts with Micronesia, I am encouraged. I now have concrete partnerships to move forward with. I now have the possibility for getting a boat.
• Prior to this workshop I wasn’t sure what we would get out of it. We have consensus now about how to move forward to help protect our oceans. The ocean is not what separates us but what connects us.
• I had the chance to listen and learn and understand more. I leave not feeling pigeonholed by NOAA re: my needs.
• A wealth of knowledge about Micronesia and what different pacific islanders need. It gave me perspective about our own needs, taking less for granted about what we have at home. What is possible is sharing and exchanges.
• Came with 1% potential and go now taking that and making something happen with it.
• Making this a reality seems possible now. I have seen changes in people since the meeting began – new eyes. We are a vast resource to each other of talent, expertise – unmatched by dollars. We can reach out and be re-charged.
• Meet new people. Solidify relationships. Feeling closer and more connected. Contacts to go to work with.
• New relationships. Feeling the energy and enthusiasm.
• So good to see that this has finally come together.
• Love back from the region. Personal moments. Laughs. Spirit.
• Cool to see the concept paper written so long ago get refined and embraced. I’m excited about all we can do together and what will come next.
• I was worried that it wouldn’t produce useable results and be a duplication of effort. I loved meeting the new partners and learning how we can use this community.
• The chance to be with donors. Knowledge of what is happening around the Pacific. What is possible is follow-through given roles and responsibilities. We are sailing now.
• Pleasure. Enriching to meet people from the region and DC to learn your concerns and hopes and dreams. I have new hope about what is possible for MPAs and how letting go can be an important part of process.
• I came in with high expectations because of my confidence in the talent in this room. There was a new level of equity and trust in the way we participated with each other.
• I came as a PIMPAC doubter – thinking the needs were too great, too diverse. I leave here excited about the results, the info, contact and progress already made.
• We do indeed have access to resources now. I have a great sense of inclusiveness, trust and gratitude. A certainty that we will succeed.
• A network for sharing information. This was a gap that we can now fill.
• Everyone is an equal leader. It is all of us. NOAA started it but this community will carry this forward with equal effort. I dreamt years back for something big to move resource management forward. This is the engine to make something big happen.
• Gratitude for all those who contributed organizers and participants alike. Excited for the groundwork and framework we have built for moving forward.
• A new commitment to equity, justice and the chance to leave the past in the past and create a fairer future for all.
APPENDICIES

Appendix One: Summary Results of the 112 Outreach Interviews
Appendix Two: Concept Paper for a Pacific Islands MPA Community
Summary Results from Outreach Interviews Conducted on the Potential Formation of a Pacific Islands Marine Protected Area Community


INTRODUCTION

Marine Protected Area (MPA) managers in the Pacific Islands face a unique set of challenges including limitations in human and financial resources and isolation from other MPAs. While each MPA has its own strengths and issues, most share the challenge of capacity limitations. They also have in common the great distances between islands that restrict the ability of managers to learn from and apply approaches that have been successful elsewhere. These shared challenges inhibit Pacific Islands MPA systems from being as effective as possible.

Nevertheless, many people feel the answers to today’s challenges can be found in the islands. Traditional management approaches of marine resources in the Pacific Islands are thousands of years-old. For MPA managers the difficulty lies in building on these traditional approaches while adapting to modern technology and practices. Therefore, to play a successful role in MPA management, traditional and local approaches must be actively fostered, developed, and integrated into current MPA systems.

In February 2005, members of the National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration (NOAA), the Community Conservation Network, The Nature Conservancy, and the University of Guam Marine Lab, met in Honolulu to discuss existing networking efforts and explore potential solutions to overcome some of these unique challenges. The outcome of these discussions was the idea of potentially initiating and developing a Pacific Islands Marine Protected Area Community, to service the US Pacific Islands and Freely Associated States (FAS). Through such a Community, a collaboration of MPA managers, non-governmental organizations, federal, state, and territorial agencies, local communities, and other stakeholders working together was envisioned in order to collectively enhance the effective use and management of MPAs in the Pacific Islands. Such an initiative would inherently be focused on assisting MPA managers in the region prioritize and address their immediate and long-term challenges. The initiative would also seek to build off of any complimentary strengths and weaknesses between the US Pacific Islands and FAS, and deliberately integrate MPA activities within the region as a whole.

While the concept of such a ‘community’ could have many potential benefits, it was recognized that the perceived concerns, needs, and interests of MPA managers and stakeholders across the region would first need to be assessed and discussed in order to confirm and logically guide the establishment of such a ‘community’. This document presents the summary results of a series of interviews that were held during 2005 in order to assess the region’s concerns, needs, and interests relating to Pacific Islands MPA management. The purpose of presenting these results is:

1. To build the knowledge and understanding of the perceived strengths, challenges, and needs of managers and partners regarding Pacific Islands MPAs; and
2. To serve as background material to help inform and guide discussions that will occur during a workshop that is to be held during late August 2005 and attended by 60 representatives working on MPA management from throughout the Pacific Islands.

Author to whom all questions or comments should be addressed: john.parks@noaa.gov
METHODS

A structured interview composed of eight open-ended questions and one multiple choice question was developed and peer reviewed in February and March 2005. Between March and August 2005, over one hundred people were interviewed by NOAA representatives from the US Pacific Islands and FAS. Interviewees were identified as professionals who are either managing or directly supporting one or more MPAs in the US Pacific Islands and FAS, or who are currently working more broadly on addressing coastal and marine resource management issues in one or more of these islands. On average, each interview took approximately one hour to complete. The majority of interviews were completed on-site. Interview responses were recorded, collated, coded, and analyzed. A summary of the results generated through these interviews follows.

SUMMARY OF RESULTS

Characteristics of Respondents

Between March and August 2005, a total of 112 people were interviewed by NOAA representatives across the following seven US Pacific Islands and FAS: (a) American Samoa (n=17 respondents); (b) the Commonwealth of the Northern Marianas Islands (n=7); (c) the Federated States of Micronesia (FSM; n=41 total), represented by Chuuk (n=10), Korsrae (n=11), Pohnpei (n=10), and Yap (n=10); (d) Guam (n=15); (e) Hawaii (n=12); (f) the Republic of the Marshall Islands (n=9); and (g) the Republic of Palau (n=11). Nearly half (46%) of all respondents are working in the US Pacific Islands (i.e., in the State of Hawaii or in the Trust Territories of American Samoa, CNMI, and Guam), with the remainder (54%) working in FAS.

In terms of organizational affiliation, half (52%) of all respondents are currently working for a local (e.g., State or Territory) government agency. The remainder of respondents are split among working for a national (federal) government agency (20%), a non-governmental conservation organization (16%), or within academia (14%). Nearly all respondents (n=104; 93%) are employed in organizations outside of the US federal government.

The 112 individuals interviewed represent a wide range of professional occupations and positions working on, or in partnership with, operating MPAs in the region. Over half (54%) of those interviewed are in management positions; i.e., ‘managers’. Of the remainder, four types of respondents were nearly equally commonly interviewed: academics, biologists (non-manager), MPA advocates, and political appointees or staff (see Figure 1). Four representatives from coastal and marine tourism groups were interviewed, as well as three volunteers. Nearly three-quarters (71%) of all respondents are male.

Of the 60 managers interviewed, over half (n=33; 55%) are MPA managers, meaning that they are individuals who have the legal authority and responsibility to either manage MPA sites or provide direct management support. The remaining managers are split between either marine resource managers (20%; includes fisheries and coral reef managers) or natural resource managers (25%; includes coastal zone, wetland, watershed, and wildlife managers).

Perceived MPA Strengths and Challenges

The first two questions asked during the interviews related to assessing the perceived strengths and challenges of MPA management in the Pacific Islands:
Q1: “What are the top two to three strengths of your MPA program?”
Q2: “What are the top three to five challenges you face in managing your MPAs?”

The 112 open-ended responses provided to this question by respondents were recorded by the interviewers and later coded as one of 28 total ‘MPA strength’ responses cited by respondents (see Table 1), spread among five categories: external strengths, management (internal) strengths, governance strengths, design strengths, and historical (contextual) strengths.

The frequencies of perceived MPA strengths are shown in Figure 2. The top five most frequently cited MPA strengths across all respondents (i.e., both US islands and FAS) are:

1. ‘Public support/buy-in’ (n=41; cited by 38% of all respondents);
2. ‘Public participation and engagement in management activities’ (n=29; cited by 27% of all respondents);
3. ‘Public perception of MPA effectiveness’ (n=25; cited by 23% of all respondents);
4. ‘Public education and outreach, awareness raising’ (n=24; cited by 22% of all respondents); and
5. ‘Partnerships and coordination between government agencies and/or other non-governmental organizations’ (n=22; cited by 21% of all respondents).

These top five most frequently cited MPA challenges represent just under half (48%) of total responses. It should also be noted that ‘documented effectiveness of MPA management efforts’ was cited nearly as frequently (n=21) as ‘partnerships and coordination’. A higher degree of agreement on perceived MPA strengths is found between FAS respondents than between US islands respondents. Accordingly, FAS responses account for most of the frequencies within the top five reported strengths.

Certain responses within each category are closely related in nature. For example, within the ‘external’ category of responses (8 possible responses), the three ‘public support/buy-in’, ‘public education and outreach’, and ‘public perception of MPA effectiveness’ responses are closely related. These three responses dominate the perceived MPA strength results, accounting for nearly one-third (30%) of all responses provided by all respondents across all possible categories. Moreover, responses that fall within the external (38%) and management/ internal (36%) categories account for three-quarters (74%) of all perceived MPA strength responses provided across the region to interviewers.
Table 1. A list of the 28 possible ‘MPA strength’ responses offered by respondents, by category.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Code</th>
<th>Response category – response offered</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Pub</td>
<td>External Strength – Public support/buy-in (local/community)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Edu</td>
<td>External Strength – Public education and outreach; awareness raising</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Per</td>
<td>External Strength – Public perception of MPA effectiveness/performance</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ecn</td>
<td>External Strength – Economic linkages/benefits (fisheries, tourism)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Food</td>
<td>External Strength – Food security/subsistence take improved</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rec</td>
<td>External Strength – Recognition and prestige</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pop</td>
<td>External Strength – Population level, development rate</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cmp</td>
<td>External Strength – High degree of user compliance with regulations</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pln</td>
<td>Management Strength – planning (single or multiple sites/network)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hum</td>
<td>Management Strength – human resources</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fin</td>
<td>Management Strength – financial resources</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Enf</td>
<td>Management Strength – enforcement and surveillance</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mon</td>
<td>Management Strength – monitoring and evaluating MPA effectiveness</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Par</td>
<td>Management Strength – public participation and engagement in management action (CBM, co-management)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Trd</td>
<td>Management Strength – building off of traditional practices, cultural integration</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Eff</td>
<td>Management Strength – documented effectiveness of management efforts</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Inc</td>
<td>Management Strength – increased and/or broader management action needed (e.g., land-based sources of pollution)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Res</td>
<td>Management Strength – scientific research done/valueed to support management decisions</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Leg</td>
<td>Governance Strength – Legislative/regulatory mandate</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Com</td>
<td>Governance Strength – Complementary programs/existing frameworks that communicate and support efforts</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Crd</td>
<td>Governance Strength – Partnerships and coordination between government agencies and/or other NGOs</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pol</td>
<td>Governance Strength – Political (legislature, officials) and senior management leadership buy-in and support</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bio</td>
<td>Design Strength – Biological representativeness, diversity of sites</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lim</td>
<td>Design Strength – limited access by users (military site, remote/isolated, etc.)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cnd</td>
<td>Design Strength – condition of site/habitats/species is excellent or pristine</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lon</td>
<td>Historical Strength – Longevity: experience and knowledge</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sci</td>
<td>Historical Strength – Supporting science/scientific research</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Prs</td>
<td>Historical Strength – Presence of existing MPAs (already designated)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Figure 2. Reported 'MPA strengths', by US islands versus FAS. (See Table 1 for the key to strength category abbreviations)
In the US islands alone, the ‘complementary programs or existing frameworks that communicate and support management efforts’ response ties as the most frequently cited (n=11) perceived strength along with ‘public support/buy-in’, ‘documented effectiveness’, and ‘partnerships and coordination’. The ‘political and senior management leadership buy-in and support’ and ‘public perception of MPA effectiveness’ responses were tied as the fifth most frequently cited MPA strength responses in the US islands (n=8).

In regard to question two, the 112 interviews provided a total of 30 responses regarding perceived ‘MPA challenges’ within the five response categories (see Table 2). The frequency results for these perceived MPA challenges are shown in Figure 3.

The top five most frequently cited MPA challenges by all respondents are:

1. ‘Human resources’, including both the need for more staff and the need for staff with increased capacity or technical skills (n=58; cited by 54% of all respondents);
2. ‘Enforcement and surveillance’ (n=46; cited by 43% of all respondents);
3. ‘Financial resources’, including funding for project, infrastructure, and equipment costs (n=44; cited by 41% of all respondents);
4. ‘Public education and outreach, awareness raising’ (n=42; cited by 39% of all respondents); and
5. ‘Public support/buy-in’ (n=33; cited by 31% of all respondents).

These top five most frequently cited MPA challenges represent half (50%) of total responses. It is worth noting that ‘human resource needs’ is the most frequently cited response of any MPA strength and challenge response provided, being the only response to either question that is cited by a majority (i.e., over half) of all respondents.

Compared to the strengths, there was a substantially higher level of agreement across all respondents regarding the region’s perceived MPA challenges. All five of top challenges were cited by more than 30 respondents, as opposed to only the first of the top five perceived MPA strengths. In addition, differences between US Island and FAS responses on perceived challenges overall were far less than with the perceived strengths. There was also clear agreement as to which of the five response categories need the most attention, with ‘management’ (internal) challenges accounting for over half (57%) of all responses provided to interviewers.

The related ‘human’ and ‘financial’ resource responses together account for nearly one-quarter (23%) of all challenge responses cited. Also, similarly to the strengths responses, the three related ‘public support’, ‘public education and outreach’, and ‘public perception of MPA effectiveness’ categories account for one-fifth (19%) of all challenge responses provided by all respondents.

The response rate within both design and historical (contextual) categories was low for both perceived MPA strengths and weaknesses, accounting for only nine and six percent (respectively) of total responses provided.

[Text to be inserted here relating to the statistical strength of relationships between managers vs. non-managers and strength/challenge responses]

Perceived Needs of Pacific Islands MPAs

The third interview question was designed to follow-up on the perceived challenges identified by the respondent out of question two:
Table 2. A list of the 30 possible ‘MPA challenge’ responses offered by respondents, by category.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Code</th>
<th>Response category – response offered</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Pub</td>
<td>External Challenge – Public support/buy-in (local/community)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Edu</td>
<td>External Challenge – Public education and outreach; awareness raising</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Per</td>
<td>External Challenge – Public perception of MPA effectiveness/performance</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ecn</td>
<td>External Challenge – Economic linkages/benefits (fisheries, tourism)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dep</td>
<td>External Challenge – High level of resource dependency by local residents</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Inf</td>
<td>External Challenge – Access to existing information, tools/techniques, and expertise in Pacific Islands</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pop</td>
<td>External Challenge – Population rise, increasing development</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pln</td>
<td>Management Challenge – planning (single or multiple sites/network)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hum</td>
<td>Management Challenge – human resources</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fin</td>
<td>Management Challenge – financial resources</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Enf</td>
<td>Management Challenge – enforcement and surveillance</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mon</td>
<td>Management Challenge – monitoring and evaluating MPA effectiveness</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Par</td>
<td>Management Challenge – public participation and engagement in management action (CBM, co-management)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Trd</td>
<td>Management Challenge – building off of traditional practices, cultural integration</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Eff</td>
<td>Management Challenge – documented effectiveness of management efforts</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Res</td>
<td>Management Challenge – scientific research done/valued to support management decisions</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tim</td>
<td>Management Challenge – timeliness of management action and completion</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Inc</td>
<td>Management Challenge – Increased and/or broader management action needed (users, land-based pollution, etc.)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Leg</td>
<td>Governance Challenge – Legislative/regulatory mandate</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Com</td>
<td>Governance Challenge – Complementary programs/existing frameworks that communicate and support efforts</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bur</td>
<td>Governance Challenge – Simplify governance process/bureaucracy</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Crd</td>
<td>Governance Challenge – Partnerships and coordination between government agencies and/or other NGOs</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pol</td>
<td>Governance Challenge – Political (legislature, officials) and senior management leadership buy-in and support</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bio</td>
<td>Design Challenge – Biological representativeness, diversity of sites</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lim</td>
<td>Design Challenge – limited access by users (military site, remote/isolated, etc.)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rem</td>
<td>Design Challenge – the physical remoteness or isolation of the areas being managed</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lon</td>
<td>Historical Challenge – Longevity: experience and knowledge</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sci</td>
<td>Historical Challenge – Supporting science/scientific research</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Prs</td>
<td>Historical Challenge – Presence of existing MPAs (already designated)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Exp</td>
<td>Historical Challenge – Previous exploitation of resources (overfished)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Figure 3. Reported ‘MPA challenges’, by US islands versus FAS. (See Table 2 for the key to challenge category abbreviations)
Q3: “What do you need to overcome these challenges?”

Similarly to questions one and two, a total of 24 possible ‘MPA needs’ across five response categories were offered on question three (see Table 3). The frequency with which each ‘need’ response was provided is shown in Figure 4.

The top five most frequently cited perceived MPA needs across all respondents are:

1. ‘Public education and outreach, awareness raising’ (n=60; cited by 56% of all respondents);
2. ‘Human resources’, including both the need for more staff and the need for staff with increased capacity or technical skills (n=58; cited by 54% of all respondents);
3. ‘Financial resources’, including funding for project, infrastructure, and equipment costs (n=57; cited by 53% of all respondents);
4. ‘Public participation and engagement in management activities’ (n=37; cited by 35% of all respondents); and
5. ‘Partnerships and coordination between government agencies and/or other non-governmental organizations’ (n=34; cited by 32% of all respondents).

These top five most frequently cited perceived ‘MPA needs’ represent nearly two-thirds (63%) of total responses provided. These results also represent the largest degree of respondent consensus among questions one, two, and three, with the top three ‘MPA need’ responses each being cited by over half of all respondents. The ‘public education and outreach’ response to this question is the most commonly cited of any response provided among the three questions.

Table 3. A list of the 24 possible ‘MPA need’ responses offered by respondents, by category.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Code</th>
<th>Response category – response offered</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Pub</td>
<td>External Need – Public support/buy-in (local/community)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Edu</td>
<td>External Need – Public education and outreach; awareness raising</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Per</td>
<td>External Need – Public perception of MPA effectiveness/performance</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ecn</td>
<td>External Need – Economic linkages/benefits (fisheries, tourism)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Inf</td>
<td>External Need – Access to existing information, tools/techniques, and expertise in Pacific Islands</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pln</td>
<td>Management Need – planning (single or multiple sites/network)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hum</td>
<td>Management Need – human resources</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fin</td>
<td>Management Need – financial resources</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Enf</td>
<td>Management Need – enforcement and surveillance</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mon</td>
<td>Management Need – monitoring and evaluating MPA effectiveness</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Res</td>
<td>Management Need – scientific research done/valued to support management decisions</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Par</td>
<td>Management Need – public participation and engagement in management action (CBM, co-management)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Trd</td>
<td>Management Need – building off of traditional practices, cultural integration</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Eff</td>
<td>Management Need – documented effectiveness of management efforts</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tim</td>
<td>Management Need – timeliness of management action and completion</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Inc</td>
<td>Management Need – Increased and/or broader management action needed (users, land-based pollution, etc.)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Leg</td>
<td>Governance Need – Legislative/regulatory mandate</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bur</td>
<td>Governance Need – Simplify governance process/bureaucracy</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Com</td>
<td>Governance Need – Complementary programs/existing frameworks that communicate and support efforts</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Crd</td>
<td>Governance Need – Partnerships and coordination between government agencies and/or other NGOs</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pol</td>
<td>Governance Need – Political (legislature, officials) and senior management leadership buy-in and support</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bio</td>
<td>Design Need – Biological representativeness, diversity of sites</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lim</td>
<td>Design Need – limited access by users (military site, remote/isolated, etc.)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lon</td>
<td>Historical Need – Longevity: experience and knowledge</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
As was expected, there is a high degree of similarity between the reported MPA perceived ‘needs’ and ‘challenges’ results, with the top three ‘MPA need’ responses also being cited within the top five ‘MPA challenges’ responses. Also, similarly to the ‘MPA challenges’ results, the related “human” and “financial” resource responses together contribute the most to total MPA ‘needs’ responses provided, together accounting for nearly one-third (30%) of all ‘needs’ responses cited. Finally, as with the ‘MPA challenges’ results, the total reported ‘need’ responses that fall under the internal/management response category account for the majority (57%) of all cited ‘needs’.

Unlike both the strengths and challenges results, not only does the ‘public support/buy-in’ response not fall within in the top five ‘needs’ responses provided to interviewers, but overall it scores as one of the least frequently perceived MPA needs. This is in direct contrast to the challenges results.

There is a substantial degree of agreement between US island and FAS respondents regarding the top five perceived ‘MPA needs’ in the Pacific Islands, the highest level of agreement of questions one, two, and three.

[Text to be inserted here relating to the statistical strength of relationships between managers vs. non-managers and need responses]

**Perceived Benefits of Increased Access to Other Pacific Islands MPAs**

The fourth interview question was designed to address the potential, perceived benefits of increased access to other Pacific Islands MPAs:

Q4: “Would access to skills, approaches, experiences, and lessons of other MPAs benefit your MPA system? If so, which benefits specifically would potentially be of most use?”

Nearly all of the respondents (94%) replied positively to the first part of this question (i.e., “yes”), with only three respondents replying in the negative (i.e., “no”). Four respondents, all in US
islands, replied that they “did not know” whether or not there would be any potential benefits from increased access to other MPAs in the region.

From the 112 interviews conducted, a total of 8 possible ‘potential benefit’ responses were offered by all respondents to question four (see Table 4). The frequency with which each ‘potential benefit’ response was offered is shown in Figure 5.

The top three most frequently cited ‘potential benefit’ responses across all respondents are:

1. Benefiting through access to others’ experiences (n=68; cited by 64% of all respondents);
2. Accessing expertise and being trained in new skills (n=45; cited by 42% of all respondents); and
3. Engagement in active and formal learning activities (n=31; cited by 29% of all respondents).

These three, related responses account for four-fifths (79%) of all responses provided.

Table 4. A list of the 8 possible ‘potential benefit’ responses offered by respondents.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Code</th>
<th>Response offered</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Exprs</td>
<td>Benefiting through access to others’ experiences (successes, failures, lessons, etc.); includes peer-to-peer, MPA site-to-site, island-to-island level interactions.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Partns</td>
<td>Benefiting from regular access to (and working with) new and/or diverse partner organizations</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Trdnl</td>
<td>Accessing how others are effectively incorporating traditional management and integrating cultural practices into contemporary (“western”-style) MPA management practices</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Funds</td>
<td>Shared and/or new funding sources as a result of access to and working with other MPA sites with such resources</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Local</td>
<td>Benefit from increased access to “local” (i.e., regional, Pacific Islands-based) expertise, knowledge, and community participation in MPA management</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Skills</td>
<td>Benefit of accessing outside expertise to be trained in new skills to build own capacity; also, sharing own expertise/skills with others in region</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Learn</td>
<td>Engagement in active and formal (i.e., deliberate, structured, and systematic) learning activities (e.g., regional research experiments) and access to new scientific information, research findings, and knowledge</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Acadm</td>
<td>Benefit of strengthening local academic institutions and curricula to build long-term management capacity</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Figure 5. Reported ‘potential benefits’ of increased access to other MPAs in region, by US islands versus FAS. (See Table 4 for the key to need category abbreviations)
US island respondents cited benefiting from access to others’ experiences as frequently as FAS respondents. FAS respondents cited accessing expertise and skills more than US island respondents, and US island respondents cited formal learning more than FAS respondents. The other five possible responses offered were not frequently cited.

[Text to be inserted here relating to the statistical strength of relationships between managers vs. non-managers and need responses]

Assumed Need for Strengthened Academic Capacity

The fifth interview question was designed to gauge the extent to which respondents believe that strengthened academic capacity relating to MPA management would be beneficial:

Q5: “To what extent, if any, do you think that strengthening regional academic capacity to offer MPA management program would benefit MPA effectiveness in your State/Territory?”

As the only closed question in the interview, a four-point scale was offered to guide respondent responses to this question, as follows:

3 = very helpful  2 = somewhat helpful  1 = not helpful  0 = I do not know

Across the 112 respondents, the average response to this questions was between “somewhat helpful” and “very helpful”, leaning toward “very helpful” (average = 2.75). Whereas all FAS respondents replied “very helpful” (average = 3.00), US island respondents are less optimistic, split evenly between “somewhat helpful” and “very helpful” (average = 2.50). Of the four US island jurisdictions, respondents from American Samoa and Guam are more optimistic, typically responding “very helpful” (average = 2.77 and 2.80, respectively) to the question, whereas respondents from CNMI and Hawaii are more guarded, leaning toward “somewhat helpful” (average = 2.21 and 2.23, respectively) as a typical response.

Respondents were subsequently asked to expand on any “very helpful” or “somewhat helpful” responses. A wide range of suggestions and responses were offered. The highest utility of strengthened regional academic capacity is largely viewed as a vehicle to more deliberately and effectively incorporate students into MPA management programs so as to be a source of increased current and future human capacity. It was also noted by several respondents that local schools need to more deliberately serve as a location for local islanders to be trained in specific sets of MPA management, administrative, and scientific skills in order to build local, long-term, and sustainable human resource capacity.

There were concerns in this approach, however, notably in that as a result of such training and increased academic offerings, the islands could loose newly-created human capacity to job openings in other regions or the US mainland, particularly if the islands are unable to provide ample, consistent opportunities for trained students to secure long-term, stable, and equitably-paying management positions locally. Such “brain drain” is seen as a chronic condition that would be difficult to address simply through improved academic offerings and increased regional MPA coordination.

Perceived Utility of Increased Pacific Islands MPA Coordination

---

2 A summary list of these responses will be provided to and discussed by Pacific Islands representatives during a Pacific Islands MPA Community Workshop to be held in Guam in late August 2005.
The sixth interview question was designed to identify whether or not respondents believe that increased regional MPA coordination would be useful, and if so, how:

Q6: “Do you think that coordination of MPA efforts across the Pacific Islands region would be useful for your MPA? If so, how?”

Nearly all of the respondents (94%) replied “yes” to the first part of this question, with only two respondents (2%; one from Hawaii and one from FSM) replying “no”. Five respondents (4%), all from US islands, replied that they did not know whether or not increased coordination would be of use to their MPA site(s).

Regarding the second part of this question, a litany of specific suggestions were offered as to what uses could result from increased coordination of MPA efforts in the region. While responses varied widely, similarly to the results out of question four, by far the most frequently cited response offered (n=69; cited by 62% of all respondents) relates to increased sharing of experiences, information, and knowledge, particularly with respect to “what works and what doesn’t work” in terms of MPA management efforts. Other commonly cited responses include sharing skills and accessing training opportunities, improving funding to the region and sharing financial resources, and promoting the region’s MPA capacity, experience, and knowledge. A few dozen respondents simply cited “increased coordination” as a benefit in and of itself (despite the redundancy to the original question).

**Outputs of the Final Three Questions**

Three final open-ended questions were posed to respondents during their interviews:

Q7: “What type of US federal government assistance has been of most value to your MPA system? Which assistance did not work?”

Q8: “Do you all have a management plan for the site? If no, what else do you need?”

Q9: “Are there any specific MPA tools, experts, or experiences of other sites that you would like your MPA system to have access to?”

The responses offered to question seven were not particularly useful (a limited set of previously known responses), and nearly all respondents tended only to address the first half of the question.

Question eight was originally intended to primarily as a method to gain background knowledge at specific sites, but in practice was not found to be a particularly useful method to do so. As a result, the question was asked infrequently and inconsistently by interviewers, based largely on their knowledge of the MPA, the island location, and the respondent. As a consequence, an sparse and incomplete amount of information was collected across all respondents. Where responses were provided, they served merely to validate or complete interviewer knowledge.

Finally, the litany of responses provided to question nine were largely redundant to the responses previously offered to questions four and six, and likely influenced by those prior responses. As a consequence, the results unfortunately did not provide any new, significant insights.

---

3 A summary list of these responses will be provided to and discussed by Pacific Islands representatives during a Pacific Islands MPA Community Workshop to be held in Guam in late August 2005.
DISCUSSION

The outreach interview results offer MPA and marine resource managers in the Pacific Islands several points of relevant consideration in their contemplation of forming a Pacific Islands MPA Community, and in structuring possible activities for such a Community.

The results on the most frequently perceived MPA strengths in the US islands suggest a greater focus or higher level of attention on the governance aspects of MPA management in the US islands than in FAS. This could be explained due to the higher reliance on a centralized MPA management approach for MPAs in the US islands, as opposed to local or community-based approach.

The greater level of agreement between US Island versus FAS perceptions on MPA challenges, compared to strengths, suggests that there are at least some shared issues or similar concerns that are presently challenging MPA managers across the region that could be useful to be addressed, regardless of the national jurisdiction. This agreement also could indicate a greater level of regional attention and awareness of MPA issues, rather than successes. The clear consensus regarding an overall regional focus on management challenges (as opposed to public or governance challenges) could be explained by a greater level of regular attention and evaluation being given to addressing internal needs, rather than external ones.

Interestingly, ‘public support/buy-in’ and ‘public education and outreach’ were both cited within the top five perceived MPA strengths and challenges. This overlap may indicate a large degree of overall attention and regional emphasis or awareness being placed on the need for effective public engagement relating to building external support for MPA management. It may also signal the need for more in-depth discussion, investigation, and work on the topic of public engagement in order to provide a clearer understanding between with aspects of this topic are perceived to be strengths versus weaknesses in the region.

Although the strong level of US island and FAS respondent agreement between perceived MPA weaknesses and needs was originally predicted, the fact that the results illustrate this agreement improves our confidence in the results provided. The overlapping results within the top MPA challenges and needs offer a strong rationale for consideration of a management-focused (i.e., internal) capacity-building effort through a potential Pacific Islands MPA Community, and suggest at least two specific areas of programmatic attention that would be useful to address existing MPA capacity challenges in the region: improving public education and outreach efforts, and addressing human and financial resources. In providing specific suggestions in these areas, respondents frequently noted the need to improve MPA staff skills in enforcement, monitoring and effectiveness evaluation, management planning, grant writing, and the use of the social sciences in decision making. Regarding public education, most respondents noted the need for the development or adaptation of outreach tools and campaigns to raise the awareness of the general public and with specific government decision makers on the facts and utility of MPAs, including both their underlying science and globally demonstrated effectiveness.

The results of questions one through three (i.e., perceived strengths, challenges, and needs) also reveal an in-depth look the differences and similarities among MPA managers regarding specific strengths, challenges and needs. More specifically, the strengths display clear differences between US islands and FAS. They clearly demonstrate the fact that US islands and FAS situations are complementary, and thus suggest the need for deliberate and continuous integration and partnership. This finding highlights the need for an explicit vision of a multi-national,
holistic Pacific region approach to a regional MPA coordination, in which opportunities are created for peer to peer learning and experience sharing.

Next, the results from question four suggest that there is clear consensus by managers that increased access to other MPAs in the region would be beneficial to respondents, particularly with respect to accessing one another’s MPA management experiences and knowledge. Likewise, the results to question five show that there is a clearly expressed and agreed-upon overall belief and interest by regional MPA managers and stakeholders that increasing the capacity of academic institutions in the region to provide MPA management programs would be useful for management purposes.

Finally, the results generated out of question six indicates a clear, expressed interest in the development of a ‘community’ that promotes coordination and collaboration of MPA efforts regionally. This is also supported out of the results to question four, where respondents note their strong interest in accessing experiences, skills, and lessons/knowledge through increased peer-to-peer, site-to-site, and island-to-island interaction and coordination on MPA efforts and capacity. These results offer a strong rationale in the consideration of initiating a Pacific Islands MPA Community, assuming that other alternatives do not exist already. Based on the results of the interviews, such a ‘community’ would clearly need to serve as a forum for knowledge and information exchange while also facilitating region-wide trainings and other skills-building efforts around a set of specified, cross-cutting MPA challenges and issues.

CONCLUSION

The results of the outreach interview completed to date provide several possible topics and avenues of discussion for regional MPA managers and support professionals. Should such discussions lead to the proposed initiation of a Pacific Islands MPA Community, regional MPA managers and other key stakeholders will need to collectively design a future program of prioritized activities to address the challenges and needs illustrated through the interview results, while taking advantage of the existing regional strengths and capacity. It is hoped that these results can and will inform and guide Pacific Island MPA managers in their consideration and decision as to whether or not a Pacific Islands MPA Community is to be created to improve the effectiveness of MPA management in the region.

While interview results confirm the breadth of interest and potential that a Pacific Islands MPA Community could hold for the region, it is important to recognize that it will not solve all of the capacity needs and issues relating to regional MPA management. While some of the needs identified by respondents potentially could be addressed through increased regional coordination, sharing of skills and expertise, and focused capacity building exercises, clearly the creation of Pacific Islands MPA Community will not provide all the necessary solutions.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

The authors would like to thank the 112 respondents and their respective organizations for the time and thoughtfulness put into answering the outreach interview questions, and their willingness and interest in doing so. The authors would also like to acknowledge the important role that the following people provided as an organizing committee in helping to define, structure, guide, and facilitate the overall interview questions and process (including reviewing and editing

4 Some discussion of these results is to occur among Pacific Islands representatives between August 28 through 31, 2005 at a workshop to be held in Guam regarding the potential initiation of a Pacific Islands MPA Community.
two draft versions of this document): Scott Atkinson, Colleen Corrigan, Sarah Fischer, Mike Guilbeaux, Jonathan Kelsey, Trina Leberer, William Millhouser, and Veikila Vuki. Thanks also to the outreach team for completing all 112 interviews: Meghan Gombos, Veikila Vuki, Jennifer Kozlowski, and Scott Atkinson. The outreach interviews were made possible through funding provided by the Coral Reef Conservation Program at NOAA’s National Ocean Service, and supported by NOAA’s National MPA Center and Coastal Programs Division, both within the Office of Ocean and Coastal Resource Management at NOAA’s National Ocean Service.
Toward a Pacific Islands Marine Protected Area Community

**Issue:** Marine Protected Area (MPA) managers in the Pacific Islands face a unique set of challenges including limitations in human and financial resources and isolation from other MPAs. While each MPA has its own strengths and issues, most share the challenge of capacity limitations. They also have in common the great distances between islands that restrict the ability of managers to learn from and apply approaches that have been successful elsewhere. These shared challenges inhibit Pacific Islands MPA systems from being as effective as possible.

Nevertheless, many people feel the answers to today’s challenges can be found in the islands. Traditional management approaches of marine resources in the Pacific Islands are thousands of years-old. For MPA managers the difficulty lies in building on these traditional approaches while adapting to modern technology and practices. Therefore, to play a successful role in MPA management, traditional and local approaches must be actively fostered, developed, and integrated into current MPA systems.

**Vision:** The Pacific Islands Marine Protected Area Community (PIMPAC) is envisioned to be a collaboration of MPA managers, non-governmental organizations, federal, state, and territorial agencies, local communities, and other stakeholders working together to collectively enhance the effective use and management of MPAs in the U.S. Pacific Islands and Freely Associated States.

**Aims:** The PIMPAC initiative is intended to help MPA managers in the Pacific Islands to prioritize and address their immediate and long-term challenges. The National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration, Community Conservation Network, The Nature Conservancy, and the University of Guam Marine Lab, acting as a scoping team, are committed to working with the region’s MPA managers and practitioners to support the visioning and development of PIMPAC. It is anticipated that as PIMPAC is established, members will identify from among themselves who will govern the effort and how.

**Next Steps:** The first step in developing PIMPAC is to better understand the existing strengths of MPAs in the region as well as their most pressing needs. This will be done by reviewing previous MPA assessments and through on-site meetings with current MPA managers, stakeholders, and other learning network efforts. This assessment will take place from March to July, 2005. Once this information has been collected and organized, a workshop of Pacific Island MPA managers and practitioners will be held in August 2005 to review assessment results and develop the Community. PIMPAC aims to become a forum for MPA managers and other key stakeholders to collectively design a program and map future activities that will be pursued to help meet the needs of MPA management in the region.
Potential Benefits: Based on workshop outcomes, PIMPAC sets its sights on beginning to collaboratively address identified priorities in September/October of 2005. While these priority focus areas and specific actions will be developed by the workshop participants, some broad efforts and benefits based on the general understanding of MPA challenges and experiences in the region could include:

- Supporting the expressed needs of MPA sites and programs through focused skill-building trainings, facilitating access to experts, and promoting staff exchanges.
- Building partnerships with academic and other institutions in the region to strengthen long-term, locally-based MPA management in the Pacific Islands.
- Fostering information sharing about, and development of, local and traditional management techniques that complement current MPA systems.
- Promoting the exchange of knowledge, skills, lessons, and experiences by creating a regional learning network focused on peer to peer learning. This approach will build partnerships and learn from the experience of other successful efforts in other parts of the Pacific.

In Closing...PIMPAC is a pilot effort that will depend on the collaboration and support of numerous agencies, organizations, and individuals. The scoping team recognizes that MPA practitioners have many responsibilities and we seek to design the program to minimize demands on their time and maximize benefits to their MPA efforts. It is the aim of PIMPAC to build partnerships of Pacific Islands MPA managers and agencies to bring support to the region toward strengthening MPA efforts and conserving the marine resources of the Pacific Islands.

For more information on PIMPAC contact: Meghan Gombos – Meghan.Gombos@noaa.gov (808) 532 3961